

#plymplanning



Oversight and Governance Chief Executive's Department Plymouth City Council Ballard House Plymouth PLI 3BJ

Please ask for Democratic Support T 01752 305155 E democraticsupport@plymouth.gov.uk www.plymouth.gov.uk/democracy Published 03 September 2019

## PLANNING COMMITTEE

Thursday 12 September 2019 4.00 pm Council House, Plymouth

#### Members:

Councillor Stevens, Chair

Councillor Tuohy, Vice Chair

Councillors Allen, Mrs Bridgeman, Corvid, Derrick, Loveridge, Morris, Nicholson, Mrs Pengelly, R Smith, Ms Watkin and Winter.

Members are invited to attend the above meeting to consider the items of business overleaf.

This meeting will be webcast and available on-line after the meeting. By entering the Council Chamber, councillors are consenting to being filmed during the meeting and to the use of the recording for the webcast.

The Council is a data controller under the Data Protection Act. Data collected during this webcast will be retained in accordance with authority's published policy.

For further information on attending Council meetings and how to engage in the democratic process please follow this link - <u>http://www.plymouth.gov.uk/accesstomeetings</u>

Tracey Lee Chief Executive

## **Planning Committee**

#### I. Apologies

To receive apologies for non-attendance submitted by Committee Members.

#### 2. Declarations of Interest

Members will be asked to make any declarations of interest in respect of items on this agenda.

#### 3. Minutes

#### (Pages I - 6)

The Committee will be asked to confirm the minutes of the meeting held on 15 August 2019.

#### 4. Chair's Urgent Business

To receive reports on business which, in the opinion of the Chair, should be brought forward for urgent consideration.

#### 5. Questions from Members of the Public

The Chair will receive and respond to questions from members of the public submitted in accordance with the Council's procedures. Questions shall not normally exceed 50 words in length and the total length of time allowed for public questions shall not exceed 10 minutes. Any question not answered within the total time allowed shall be the subject of a written response.

#### 6. Planning Applications for consideration

The Assistant Director for Strategic Planning and Infrastructure will submit a schedule asking Members to consider Applications, Development proposals by Local Authorities and statutory consultations under the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 and the Planning (Listed Building and Conservation Areas) Act 1990.

<b>6</b> . <b>I</b> .	Meville Building, Royal William Yard, Plymouth, PLI 3RP -	(Pages 7 - 36)
	19/00675/S73	

Applicant:	Mr Adam Willetts
Ward:	St Peter and the Waterfront
Recommendation:	Grant conditionally subject to \$106. Delegated authority to service director to refuse if agreed decision timescales are not met
Case Officer:	Miss Katherine Graham

#### 7. Planning Enforcement:

#### 8. Planning Application Decisions Issued

The Assistant Director for Strategic Planning and Infrastructure, acting under powers delegated to him by the Council, will submit a schedule outlining all decisions issued since the last meeting -

- I) Committee decisions;
- 2) Delegated decisions, subject to conditions where so indicated;
- 3) Applications withdrawn;
- 4) Applications returned as invalid.

Please note that these Delegated Planning Applications are available to view online at: <a href="http://www.plymouth.gov.uk/planningapplicationsv4/welcome.asp">http://www.plymouth.gov.uk/planningapplicationsv4/welcome.asp</a>

#### 9. Appeal Decisions

#### (Pages 51 - 54)

A schedule of decisions made by the Planning Inspectorate on appeals arising from the decision of the City Council will be submitted. Please note that these Delegated Planning Applications are available to view online at:

http://www.plymouth.gov.uk/planningapplicationsv4/welcome.asp

This page is intentionally left blank

## Planning Committee

#### Thursday 15 August 2019

#### PRESENT:

Councillor Stevens, in the Chair. Councillor Tuohy, Vice Chair. Councillors Allen, Mrs Bridgeman, Corvid, Derrick, Morris, Nicholson, Mrs Pengelly, R Smith, Ms Watkin and Winter.

Apologies for absence: Councillor Loveridge (Councillor Michael Leaves Substituting).

Also in attendance: Peter Ford (Head of Development Management), Julie Parkin (Senior Lawyer), Macauley Potter (Planning Officer), Chris Cummings (Planning Officer), Alistair Wagstaff (Strategic Development Coordinator), Scott Smy (Transport Development Coordinator), Jamie Sheldon (Democratic Advisor) and Helen Rickman (Democratic Advisor).

The meeting started at 16:00 and finished at 18:40.

Note: At a future meeting, the committee will consider the accuracy of these draft minutes, so they may be subject to change. Please check the minutes of that meeting to confirm whether these minutes have been amended.

#### 37. Declarations of Interest

The following declarations of interest were made in accordance with the code of conduct –  $\ensuremath{\mathsf{-}}$ 

Name	Minute Number and Item	Reason	Interest
Councillor Corvid	44 - 6.3	Lives close by to the application.	Personal interest
Councillor Rebecca Smith	44 - 6.3	Knows the applicants agent personally.	Personal interest
Councillor Derrick	44 - 6.3	Speaking as Ward Councillor on this item.	Prejudicial interest

#### 38. **Minutes**

Agreed the minutes of the meeting held on 18 July 2019 as an accurate record.

#### 39. Chair's Urgent Business

There were no items of Chair's urgent business.

#### 40. **Questions from Members of the Public**

There were no questions from members of the public.

#### 41. Planning Applications for consideration

The Committee considered the following applications, development proposals by local authorities and statutory consultations submitted under the Town and Country Planning Act, 1990, and the Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservations Areas) Act, 1990.

#### 42. I Galileo Close (Aldi Food Store), Plymouth, PL7 4JW - 18/01234/FUL

ALDI Stores Limited

Decision:

Application GRANTED subject to a S106 obligation with amendment to condition relating to "Potential Highway Works". This condition to be "pre-commencement" rather than "pre-occupation" as stated in the Addendum report.

(The Committee heard from Katie Priest (Pegasus Group) objecting on behalf of the Co op).

(The Committee heard from David Williams, the agent on behalf of the applicant).

(A Planning Committee site visit was held on 14 August 2019 in respect of this item)

#### 43. 201 Citadel Road East, Plymouth, PLI 2JF - 19/00923/FUL

Mr & Mrs Robbins Decision: Application GRANTED

(The Committee heard from Councillor Penberthy, St Peter and the Waterfront Ward Councillor

(The Committee heard from the applicant)

#### 44. 55 Church Way, Plymouth, PL5 IAH - 19/00699/FUL

Mr & Mrs E Nelson

Decision:

Application REFUSED for the following reason "The proposed alterations, by virtue of the design, scale, massing and positioning will dominate the existing dwelling and visually harm the existing character of the street scene contrary to Policy DEV20 (Place shaping and the quality of the built environment) of the Plymouth and South West Devon Joint Local Plan 2014-2034 (2019), Section 2 of the Development

Guidelines Supplementary Planning Document First Review (2013) and Section 12 of the National Planning Policy Framework 201"

(Councillor Corvid declared a Personal Interest and left the room for this item)

<u>Councillor Derrick was only present to speak in his capacity as Ward Councillor for this item</u>)

(The Committee heard from Councillor Derrick, Ham Ward Councillor)

(The Committee heard from Steven Lakey, the agent on behalf of the applicant)

(A Planning Committee site visit was held on 14 August 2019 in respect of this item)

#### 45. Planning Enforcement

Members noted the Planning Enforcement report.

#### 46. Planning Application Decisions Issued

The Committee noted the report from the Service Director for Strategic Planning and Infrastructure on decisions issued since the last meeting.

#### 47. **Appeal Decisions**

The Committee noted the schedule of appeal decisions made by the Planning Inspectorate.

#### 48. Exempt Business

There were no items of exempt business.

#### VOTING SCHEDULE 15 AUGUST 2019 (Pages 5 - 6)

This page is intentionally left blank

## PLANNING COMMITTEE - 15 August 2019

## SCHEDULE OF VOTING

Minute number and Application		Voting for	Voting against	Abstained	Absent due to interest declared	Absent
6.1	I Galileo Close (Aldi Food Store), Plymouth, PL7 4JW - 18/01234/FUL	Councillors Allen, Corvid, Derrick, Morris, Stevens, Tuohy and Winter.	Councillors Michael Leaves, Mrs Bridgeman, Ms Pengelly, Ms Watkin, Nicholson and Rebecca Smith.			
6.2	201 Citadel Road East, Plymouth, PLI 2JF - 19/00923/FUL	Councillors Allen, Corvid, Derrick, Morris, Stevens, Tuohy, Ms Pengelly, Ms Watkin, Rebecca Smith and Winter.	Councillor Michael Leaves.	Councillors Mrs Bridgeman and Nicholson.		
6.3	55 Church Way, Plymouth, PL5 IAH - 19/00699/FUL		Councillors Allen, Morris, Stevens, Tuohy, Ms Pengelly, Ms Watkin, Mrs Bridgeman, Nicholson and Winter.	Councillor Rebecca Smith.	Councillors Corvid and Councillor Derrick.	

This page is intentionally left blank

# PLANNING APPLICATION OFFICERS REPORT



Application Number	19/00675/	/\$73	3 Item 01			
Date Valid	<b>Date Valid</b> 20.05.201		Ward	ST PI	ST PETER AND THE WATERFRO	
Site Address		Melville Building Royal William Yard Plymouth PLI 3RP				
Proposal		Removal of Condition 22 (Hotel) and variation of Condition 32 to allow cinema use of application 16/01376/FUL				
Applicant	Applicant		Mr Adam Willetts			
Application Type		Removal or variation of a condition				
Target Date		19.08.2019		Commit Date	ttee	12.09.2019
Extended Target Date		30.09.2019				
Decision Cate	egory	Departure from Local Plan				
Case Officer		Miss Katherine Graham				
Recommenda	ation	Grant conditionally subject to \$106. Delegated authority to service director to refuse if agreed decision timescales are not met.				



## I. Description of Site

The application site consists of a Grade I Listed Building, "Melville", part of the nursery car park including a Grade II\* boundary wall, Devil's Point car park and the adjacent Grade II listed wall. These buildings are located in the destination Royal William Yard (RWY), sited within the Stonehouse Peninsula, which is also a designated Conservation Area.

Melville is located centrally within RWY fronting onto the basin. It was originally built as a general store and offices, and was largely complete by 1832. It is constructed from Plymouth limestone with granite trim and timber floors supported by cast iron columns. Melville is partly characterised by a central arched entrance with turret clock and dome above, and symmetrical built form. It is also characterised by a central courtyard and a substation in the North West corner. The building is currently under construction and surrounded by hoarding. There are parking spaces surrounding the building.

To the south east of Melville there is the existing nursery car park, providing 150 spaces. This is accessed from the main entrance to the Royal William Yard. There is a Grade II\* boundary wall along the south and west boundary.

To the south is the existing Devil's Point car park which provides 67 spaces. This is accessed from Admiralty Road. There is a Site of Special Scientific Interest located to the south of Devil's Point car park.

The Grade II listed reservoir is located to the south west of Melville. This is grassed and has two ramps which allows pedestrian access. The reservoir is located within a County Wildlife Site (CWS).

Both the reservoir and Devil's Point car park are within a Strategic Greenspace allocation.

Admiralty Cottages are located to the north of the Devil's Point car park. These residential properties are accessed from Admiralty Road. Reservoir House is sited adjacent to the north of the reservoir.

#### 2. Proposal Description

This is a S73 application for the removal of Condition 22 (Hotel) and variation of Condition 32 to allow cinema use of application 16/01376/FUL.

Condition 22 currently reads as:

#### 22 CONDITION: HOTEL

The hotel floor space as shown on: 1103-GA-024 rev A Indicative layout ground 1103-GA-025 rev A Indicative layout first 1103-GA-026 rev A Indicative layout second Shall not be used for any other purpose than a hotel use. Reason: To ensure the development complies with Development Plan Policy MS01 of the Millbay and Stonehouse Area Action Plan.

Condition 32 currently reads as:

#### 32 CONDITION: D2 GYM USE RESTRICTION

The D2 premises shall be used for Gym and for no other purposes (including any other purpose in Class D2 of the Schedule to the Town and Country Planning (Use Classes) Order 1987, or in any provision equivalent to that Class in any statutory instrument revoking and re- enacting that Order with or without modification). The D2 Gym use shall be restricted to maximum of 3000sqm gross internal floor space.

#### Reason:

The Local Planning Authority considers that, in the particular circumstances of the case, the use of the premises for the purpose specified is appropriate but that a proposal to use the building for any other purposes would need to be made the subject of a separate application to be considered on its merits in accordance with Policy CS34 of the Plymouth Local Development Framework Core Strategy (2006-2021) 2007, and paragraphs 61 and 123 of the National Planning Policy Framework 2012.

## 3. Pre-application Enquiry

18/00717/MIN Removal of Planning Condition 22 (Hotel use) and variation of condition 32 to allow cinema use: This pre-application was initially just for the removal of the hotel condition, and the proposal for the cinema use was a later addition. The pre-app confirmed this would be a Departure application and reviewed the justification for the loss of the hotel.

19/00341/MOR Pre-application for listed building advice in relation to a change of use to a cinema (Class D2): This pre-application focused on providing comments from the Historic Environment Officer in relation to the works required to implement the proposed cinema use. The Historic Environment Officer supported the proposals subject to details.

#### 4. Relevant Planning History

19/01042/LBC Fit out works Under consideration

16/01376/FUL Change of use to hotel and flexible mixed use including shops (Class A1), restaurants & cafe (Class A3), office (Class B1), residential (Class C3), non-residential institutions (Class D1), assembly & leisure and courtyard events space (Class D2). Reconfigure Devil's Point car park & overflow parking in reservoir Granted conditionally subject to S106 09.05.2017

16/01377/LBC Internal & external alterations to Melville, removal of wall (Nursery Car Park) and parking in reservoir Granted conditionally 09.02.2017

13/02320/FUL Change of use and conversion of building to form hotel with ancillary uses and associated works to building, courtyard and quarry Conditional Consent 27/02/2014 13/02321/LBC Repair works to building and alterations for conversion to hotel including works to courtyard and quarry Conditional Consent 27/02/2014

#### 5. Consultation Responses

Development Viability Officer:

(Summarised): Has reviewed the viability information and has advised that this has evidenced substantial viability challenge of delivering a hotel and that the viability position with a cinema operator would be a much improved position and would materially improve the delivery of this scheme.

Economic Development Department (ED):

Initial comments: Supports this proposal to enable the conversion of the Melville building to move towards completion and a very positive role in the City's visitor and leisure economy.

Updated ED comments (to expand on the above):

"The following provides further detail behind this briefly expressed view:

The Collier 2019 Hotel Supply and Demand Study identified a bed-space supply pipeline of 1,161 rooms (including a number of 4-Star hotels) of which 931 were envisaged as being delivered by 2026 which would broadly match the forecast growth in visitor demand over that period. It is noted that this did not assume any provision at Royal William Yard.

One of the assumed deliverables (The Crescent/Derrys Cross site 255 beds) is now a refused application though could return in a revised form addressing the reasons for refusal. Other more recently approved development schemes have hotel content including the Hotel 1620 site (80 beds) and the Moneycentre site (105 beds) albeit both appear to be in a stalled state. In addition to these, there is interest at pre-app stage for hotel accommodation providing a further 200+ bed spaces.

There is clear scope therefore for delivery of at least 861 bed-spaces (931 less 255 plus 185). This has the potential to provide for at least 235,700 staying nights ( $861 \times 365 \times 75\%$ ). And as noted earlier there is still the potential for additional accommodation at The Crescent/Derry's Cross site and elsewhere.

With a mid-range forecast of an additional 219,000 visitor staying nights in the approved framework document for the 2020-2030 Visitor Plan, some reduction in occupancy in the existing hotel stock from the recent (relatively high) 79.9% towards a 75% norm could still occur alongside the delivery of new space from consents elsewhere in the City, including space of 4-Star quality.

A conclusion of the above is therefore that the provision of (90) hotel bed-spaces at Royal William Yard, additional to the Rooms by Bistrot Pierre, is not critical to the delivery of projected visitor numbers in Plymouth at least to 2026 though it is disappointing nevertheless that it cannot be made to work at Royal William Yard where policy rightly identifies it as desirable. Finally, it is important to say that EDs view in respect of the current Melville proposal should not be taken to imply that the overall provision of hotel capacity (in scale quality) identified as appropriate in the 2019 Collier study/Visitor Plan Framework is other than critical to the delivery of the Citys visitor growth intent and its wider contribution to overall economic growth."

Further ED comments upon officer query regarding employment:

"These are the permission/new proposal jobs indications:

Permission for 90 bed hotel:

Assuming it is 'mid-scale' quality, the job density\* is 1 job per 3 beds, giving a total jobs indication of 30.

Proposal for Cinema (870 sq. m) and Offices (1,989 sq. m):

For the cinema, the job density<sup>\*</sup> is 200 sq. m per job, giving a total cinema jobs indication of 4 For the offices, the job density is c.12 sq. m per job, giving a total jobs indication of 166 Total jobs is 170

\*Job density source: HCA Employment Density Guide, 3rd Edition, Nov 2015"

Environment Agency (summarised): This proposal represents a reduction in flood risk vulnerability. The overall position in respect of RWY remains as it was before. The flood risk management plan needs to be adhered to and the site's defences completed (with provision for future upgrade to adapt to climate change). These were covered by condition previously and pleased to note these will be carried over.

Highway Authority: "As with most applications for development within the RWY, the primary area of consideration for the Highway Authority is in relation to car parking. In this particular instance it is a case of reviewing car parking demand associated with the increase in office floor space (from what was previously agreed as part of application number 16/01376/FUL) and the change of use from hotel to cinema within the Melville building.

The results of the car parking surveys show that for the majority of the time there is sufficient supply to meet demand and it is only during particular events (which usually take place at weekends) when demand outweighs supply.

Based upon the 2016 parking demand ratio figure of 46%, the extra 1594 sq. m. of office floor space would generate an extra 53 car parking spaces. This number reduces to 41 spaces as a result of the 2018 parking surveys which has revealed a further reduction in the parking demand ration figure to 36%. On the basis of these figures and the fact that sufficient level of car parking exists within the RWY during office hours, the increase in demand arising from a greater amount of office floor space would not give me any cause for concern from a highway viewpoint.

Turning to the cinema use and based upon the number of seats proposed (187), a maximum of 32 spaces would be required to serve the cinema. This based upon application of the maximum standards as set out within the Development Guidelines SPD (I space per 5 seats) and a site accessibility reduction of 15%. In view of the fact that the cinema would be a specific destination within the RWY, I would suggest applying further reduction based upon parking demand ratio would

not be appropriate. As a result of the changes to the car parking associated with the redevelopment of the Melville Building (changes at Devils Point and creation of overflow car parking area) and loss of spaces within Factory Cooperage, the total number of spaces serving the RWY will vary between 453 and 476. This compares to a demand profile of 376-409 spaces (which takes into account the proposed cinema use within Melville).

On the basis of the above I would not wish to raise any in-principle objections to the proposal. However it is likely that in order to maximise visitor numbers, screenings at the cinema are likely to coincide with events taking place at the RWY. The car parking surveys already indicate that parking demand outweighs supply during these events and therefore the cinema will only add to these pressures.

It is not clear from the information provided what measures/initiatives are being implemented by RWY as part of the Events Management Plan and looking at the result of the car parking surveys I am concerned that minimal effort is being made by the applicant in respect of this element of the overall RWY TS. Considering how much reliance is being placed upon Events Management Planning it is crucial that this element of the RWY TS is given full and proper attention.

Therefore I would recommend that a revised RWY TS be produced which both takes on board the above-mentioned changes and includes specific reference to an EMP being produced for each event which should be submitted to PCC for information prior to that particular event taking place."

Updated comments (summarised): Now agreed with the applicant's traffic consultant that as part of the review of the RWY TS (which is due to commence shortly) that the Events Management Plan will be updated in consultation with PCC. This will include the applicant needing to submit details of the measures being put in place for particular events to PCC (for information only) prior to that actual event taking place.

Historic England (HE) (copied): "Historic England is strongly supportive of these proposals, which will provide a sustainable new use for part of the Grade I listed Melville Building, a long-standing entry on our Heritage at Risk register, without any adverse impact to its significance. We have provided significant grant-aid towards repair at the Melville building and are delighted to see plans for an appropriate new use progressing. These plans will bring activity and investment to a building which has remained vacant for far too long, despite its position as the centrepiece of the Royal William Yard."

Historic Environment Officer (HEO): "I have no concerns on heritage grounds for the proposed variation from a hotel to a cinema. Details to be confirmed on receipt of the LBC."

Police Architectural Liaison Officer: No objections or comments

Public Protection Service: "The previous conditions imposed by this department for this development remain unchanged and an additional condition relating to noise from the cinema is recommended."

## 6. Representations

One letter of representation on the following grounds:

- Generally very supportive with two caveats

- Request further clarity on acoustic impact of open air seating and concern if open hours are 2am for example

- Protracted timeline for development has been damaging. Residents and businesses would benefit from certainty of when this work might complete. Request clarified as part of application. Main concern is the development of the parking and reservoir.

## 7. Relevant Policy Framework

Section 70 of the 1990 Town and Country Planning Act requires that regard be had to the development plan, any local finance and any other material considerations. Section 38(6) of the 2004 Planning and Compensation Act requires that applications are to be determined in accordance with the development plan unless material considerations indicate otherwise. For the purposes of decision making, as of March 26th 2019, the Plymouth & South West Devon Joint Local Plan 2014 - 2034 is now part of the development plan for Plymouth City Council, South Hams District Council and West Devon Borough Council (other than parts South Hams and West Devon within Dartmoor National Park.

On 26 March 2019 of the Plymouth & South West Devon Joint Local Plan was adopted by all three of the component authorities. Following adoption, the three authorities jointly notified the Ministry of Housing, Communities and Local Government of their choice to monitor at the whole plan level. This is for the purposes of the Housing Delivery Test and the 5 Year Housing Land Supply assessment. A letter from MHCLG to the Authorities was received on 13 May 2019. This confirmed the Plymouth, South Hams and West Devon's revised joint Housing Delivery Test Measurement as 163% and that the consequences are "None". It confirmed that the revised HDT measurement will take effect upon receipt of the letter, as will any consequences that will apply as a result of the measurement. It also confirmed that the letter supersedes the HDT measurements for each of the 3 local authority areas (Plymouth City, South Hams District and West Devon Borough) which Government published on 19 February 2019.

Therefore a 5% buffer is applied for the purposes of calculating a 5 year land supply at a whole plan level. When applying the 5% buffer, the combined authorities can demonstrate a 5-year land supply of 6.4 years at end March 2019 (the 2019 Monitoring Point). This is set out in the Plymouth, South Hams & West Devon Local Planning Authorities' Housing Position Statement 2019 (published 26 July 2019). The methodology and five year land supply calculations in the Housing Position Statement are based on the relevant changes in the revised National Planning Policy Framework published 19 February 2019 and updates to National Planning Practice Guidance published by the Government in September 2018, subsequently amended by NPPG Housing Supply and Delivery published 22 July 2019.

Other material considerations include the policies of the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) and guidance in Planning Practice Guidance (PPG). Additionally, the following planning documents are also material considerations in the determination of the application: Sustainable Design Supplementary Planning Document Development Guidelines Supplementary Planning Document Stonehouse Peninsula Conservation Area Appraisal and Management Plan

There is a duty placed on the Council under Section 66 of the Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act 'In considering whether to grant planning permission for development which affects a listed building or its setting' to 'have special regard to the desirability of preserving the building or its setting or any features of special architectural or historic interest which it possesses.'

## 8. Analysis

I. This application has been considered in the context of the development plan, the adopted Joint Local Plan, the Framework and other material policy documents as set out in Section 7.

2. <u>Principle – Site Allocation</u>

Melville is a site allocation under JLP Policy PLY36.

The site proposal for Melville is: "Mixed use development – hotel and complementary commercial uses to heritage visitor use of the yard potential for housing as part of mix of uses."

3. The policy considerations /things to be provided for by the development are listed below, along with a brief assessment.

## 4. <u>a) Quality hotel to form a key part of site</u>

This application will not comply with this, by removing the requirement to implement the hotel. This is a key consideration of this application and is why this application is assessed as a departure application.

## 5. <u>b) Parking to be removed from courtyard</u>

There are no changes to the previously approved parking scheme. Parking will therefore be removed from the courtyard as approved.

## 6. <u>c) Sensitive conversion</u>

The proposed changes are considered to result in a sensitive conversion, however this will be reviewed in more detail below.

## 7. <u>d) Accessible active ground floor uses</u>

There will be limited change to the ground floor uses, with the exception of the creation of the entrance to the cinema use, which is minor in scale. It is considered that the proposed changes will retain accessible active ground floor uses as previously approved.

## 8. <u>e) Enhancement to Devil's Point greenspace</u>

There are no proposed changes to the previously approved \$106 which secured enhancements to Devils Point greenspace as part of mitigation for the changes to the parking arrangements in Devil's Point.

9. As the principle of the loss of the hotel use is in part reliant upon the acceptability of the proposed cinema use, the principle of the proposed cinema is considered first.

## 10. <u>Principle – Cinema Use</u>

The application originally applied for a D2 Use (Assembly and Leisure). As D2 uses are wide ranging, it was necessary to clarify what D2 use is to be applied for. This was clarified to be for a gym use. Condition 32 was therefore applied which restricted the D2 use to a gym, and also restricted the amount of floor space. The condition is proposed to be varied to allow a cinema use.

11. Referring back to PLY36, it is considered that a D2 cinema use is consistent with the mixed use proposal for the building, as it is considered that it is a complementary commercial use to heritage visitor use of the yard. Strategic Objective S03 of the JLP 'Delivering growth in Plymouth's City Centre and Waterfront Growth Area' seeks to capitalise on the potential of the city centre and waterfront to deliver a world class cultural and visitor offer. The use of the waterfront as a world class cultural and visitor offer, as listed below.

## PLY I – Enhancing Plymouth's strategic role

Plymouth's waterfront will continue to be promoted and strengthened as a major regional hub in its own right for the economy, culture, tourism and leisure, and heritage.

## PLY20 - Managing and enhancing Plymouth's waterfront

iv. Supporting the continued regeneration and restoration of Royal William Yard as a vibrant heritage-led residential community and cultural destination.

#### PLY21 Supporting the visitor economy

Support will be given for proposals which protect and deliver growth for Plymouth's visitor economy in its core tourism area. This area includes the waterfront stretching from Sutton Harbour / The Barbican to Royal William Yard, including the Hoe and Millbay as well as the City Centre. 3. Proposals which help enhance Plymouth as a destination for all seasons, including the effective use of the waterfront and the City Centre for events, and which support business tourism and tourist related business development, will be supported.

#### PLY22 Cultural Quarters

Support will be given for cultural development proposals and proposal which protect existing cultural facilities within the city's three cultural hubs, including the historic waterfront (to include Royal William Yard)

12. The proposed cinema use is considered to be supported by these policies through supporting an all season visitor/leisure/cultural use within the waterfront area.

13. The cinema proposal is therefore considered to comply with PLY36, PLY1, PLY20, PLY21 and PLY22 of the Joint Local Plan.

#### 14. Sequential Test

The NPPF para 86 requires the LPA to "apply a sequential test to planning applications for main town centre uses which are neither in an existing centre nor in accordance with an up-to-date plan. Main town centre uses should be located in town centres, then in edge of centre locations; and only if suitable sites are not available (or expected to become available within a reasonable period) should out of centre sites be considered." The cinema is a town centre use as defined by the NPPF. Whilst it is considered that the proposed cinema is in accordance with the JLP, as it is not specifically named as an acceptable use in the site allocation policy (or any other policy), a sequential test is therefore required. JLP policy DEV16 is consistent with this NPPF requirement and requires a sequential test for proposals for main town centre uses in out of centre locations. On this basis a sequential test has been requested and submitted.

#### 15. Assessment of alternative sequential sites

The Applicants sequential test has identified sites located within the city centre and local centre including Albert Road, Marlborough Street, Cumberland Street, Stoke Village and Union Street. This has identified 21 potential sites to be considered sequentially.

16. The NPPG states that suitability of more central should be considered, and this should include flexibility in the format and/or scale of the proposal.

17. In terms of the parameters for the sequential test, the test has discounted units that are smaller than 900sqm. It is considered that this is a reasonable approach, as it is acknowledged that substantially smaller units would not provide sufficient space for a cinema offer.

18. However the submitted sequential test has ruled out units larger than 900sqm. This would mean that only sites of exactly 900sqm would pass the sequential test, and it is not considered that this would represent a flexible approach to the format and scale of the development. In order for a policy compliant flexible approach to be taken to sites, officer's assessment has included sites approx. 20% larger than the proposed floor space, which would equate to 1080sqm. It is considered that this is a reasonable approach, given the format of the proposed pod design could be incorporated into a larger unit. Taking this more flexible approach, there are a number of units that still exceed this larger scale parameter, and therefore are not considered suitable.

19. Whilst this has not been raised by the applicants in their sequential test, it is also not considered that city centre retail units are appropriate for cinema use due to their internal layout, including ceiling height. It is unlikely these units would be able to incorporate the internal height required for the cinema pods, or any other cinema screen function.

20. City Centre Joint Local Plan site allocations have also been considered. Some of the allocated sites do not benefit from planning permission and therefore are not considered to be currently available, as it is unlikely that comprehensive scheme for redevelopment will come forward in the next 5 years, to provide an available space for the proposal and therefore will not be available within a reasonable period of time. It is also considered that the proposed use would not be sufficient to lead such redevelopment schemes in its own right. In addition, these sites are not allocated for cinema use, although could be an appropriate use. The sites that benefit from consent, are not comprehensive redevelopments of the site, and do not include consent for cinema use. Therefore these are also not considered to be available within a reasonable period of time.

21. The only site identified within a local centre (Union Street) is the Palace Theatre. This has been discounted due to the size of the floor space being too large. It has also been discounted due to it being on the Heritage at Risk register, and in need of significant work. However the same can be said of the Melville building, and therefore this is given limited weight. The building is not being marketed and therefore is availability is uncertain.

22. The Reel cinema is potentially the more obvious site to be considered. However, whilst acknowledged this is a vacant building, it is not on the market. In addition, when considering the scale of the proposal, including flexibility the Reel cinema building is substantially larger than the proposed smaller pod design, and therefore not compatible with the proposal which is applied for.

23. Viability has also been given as a reason to discount certain sites. The NPPG states that promoting development in city centre locations can be more expensive and complicated than building elsewhere, and the LPA need to be realistic and flexible in applying the test. However it is not considered that this is a reasonable cause to discount city centre sites in this instance, given the viability challenges of the proposed location, e.g. Grade I listed Heritage Building at Risk.

24. Para 87 of the NPPF states that "Applicants and local planning authorities should demonstrate flexibility on issues such as format and scale, so that opportunities to utilise suitable town centre or edge of centre sites are fully explored." Officers have applied a flexible approach to reviewing the sequential test in terms of format and scale. Taking this into account, it is considered that there are no sequentially preferable sites for the cinema use, and the proposal is therefore in accordance with DEV16 and NPPF para 86 and 87.

## 25. <u>Cinema: Other considerations and conclusion</u>

There is no requirement for a retail impact test as the proposed 870m2 cinema does not meet the trigger for leisure development, which is required for proposals over 2500sqm.

26. Consideration is also given to the provision of cinemas located immediately adjacent to the city centre. This includes a 12 screen complex, including an Imax at Bretonside currently under construction and a 118 seat Plymouth Arts cinema at Plymouth college of Art. On this basis there is not considered to be any need for the provision of cinema use within the city centre.

## 27. DEV 16 clause 5) also states the following:

"5. Limited development of main town centre uses including retail may be permitted within Plymouth's core tourism areas, including the waterfront area, provided that they are complementary to the role of Plymouth City Centre and other centres and specifically support the visitor economy of these destinations." 28. It is considered that this small scale cinema is complementary to the city centre, and specifically supports the visitor economy of the waterfront.

29. It should also be noted that the principle of D2 floorspace in this location was previously considered acceptable under application 16/01376/FUL, subject to a restrictive condition to a gym use. The revised condition ensures there is no increase in the amount of D2 floor space. Furthermore, the proposals are deemed to accord with the JLP policies and therefore the Local Planning Authority considers that a consistent approach is both reasonable and appropriate in this case.

30. Overall, officers consider that the proposed cinema complies with PLY36, PLY1, PLY20, PLY21 and PLY22. It is also considered that the proposal complies with DEV16 clause 5, as it is considered to be complementary use to visitor economy of the waterfront area.

## 31. <u>Principle – Loss of Hotel</u>

Condition 22 requires the provision of floor space as shown on the approved plans to be set aside for hotel use. By removing this condition, this will remove the requirement for a hotel to be implemented within this building. This is contrary to policy PLY36 and therefore a departure application. It is also contrary to PLY21 'Support the visitor economy' which states that strategic opportunities for new high quality hotels will be protected.

32. The NPPF para 47 states "Planning law requires that applications for planning permission be determined in accordance with the development plan, unless material considerations indicate otherwise." The PPG states that "the local planning authority may depart from development plan policy where material considerations indicate that the plan should not be followed, subject to any conditions prescribed by direction by the Secretary of State. This power to depart from development plan policy is confirmed in article 32 of the Town and Country Planning (Development Management Procedure) (England) Order 2015." On this basis it is necessary to consider what material considerations indicate why a hotel is not required in Melville.

## 33. <u>Viability</u>

Viability is a material planning consideration in this instance, and was also referred to on the original decision notice through an Informative. A viability appraisal has been submitted and assessed by the Development Viability Officer. It is considered that this evidences a viability challenge of delivering a hotel in this building, highlighting a viability 'gap' of circa £6m. The scheme with the cinema is considerably less expensive to deliver and whilst a viability gap remains it is much smaller and can potentially be bridged through grant funding, which the applicant is pursuing. The cinema operator is also identified and prepared to commit to the scheme now, improving the deliverability of the overall development.

34. Viability is therefore a material consideration that indicates that a hotel is not deliverable in this building.

## 35. Impact upon Visitor Offer

Consultation has taken place with Economic Development (ED), who have supported the application, including the loss of hotel. ED comments are copied directly in the consultee section above. This references a recent Collier 2019 Hotel Supply and Demand Study 2019, which does not assume any provision at RWY, but identified a bed space supply that broadly matched the forecast growth in visitor demand. The ED officer goes into detail looking at different schemes within the city that will potentially provide bed spaces, and therefore that lack of provision of 90 bed spaces in Melville is not considered critical to the delivery of projected visitors in Plymouth to 2026. Notwithstanding this, disappointment is noted that a hotel can't be made to work in this location.

36. The comment made by ED is a material consideration that indicates the lack of a provision of a hotel in this location, will not harm the city's visitor offer.

37. There has also been the recent provision of hotel rooms, albeit without the associated on site services, through Bistro Pierre's Rooms at Residence One. This provides 14 ensuite rooms of different sizes. Whilst this does not fully mitigate for the loss of a 90 bed hotel, it does mean there is some formal provision of tourist/visitor accommodation within Royal William Yard.

38. The supporting information also suggests that there are approx. 40 available rooms for booking on various online holiday let sites. However, whilst addressing a level of visitor need, these are not the same offer as hotel provision and is given limited weight.

#### 39. <u>Attempts to deliver a hotel</u>

The supporting information provide a timeline of attempts to deliver a hotel on site, which is summarised below. There were early offers to deliver a hotel as part of a tourist attraction at the RWY while under control of Plymouth Development Corporation, however interested parties pulled out in 1998. As these attempts are over 20 years ago, and the market as changed in this time, these attempts are given limited weight.

40. The applicants acquired the site in 2012, and from 2013 until 2016 the applicants worked with the Watergate Bay hotel developer and planning permission granted in 2014 (see planning history above). The supporting statement advises "Watergate Bay spent a year developing proposals, looking at procurement options and seeking funding for the £18 million project, but ultimately it was deemed to be unviable due to the extensive historic fabric repairs that were required." In addition in 2015 they applied for LEP funding for the hotel, but was unfortunately turned down.

41. In 2016 the revised consent was granted, as part of a flexible consent, in order to try and bring Melville back into use. The agent has confirmed that since 2015 Urban Splash have discussed the hotel proposition in Melville with both large, medium, small and boutique hotel operators which has included site tours and the production of bespoke plans for marketing purposes. The conclusion of all these potential lettings is underpinned in the analysis provided in the submitted Viability report which demonstrates based on market facing rents and costs that a hotel in Melville is economically unviable to deliver and increased the gap funding requirement to bring the building forward.

42. In conclusion it is considered that there has been significant attempts to deliver a hotel at Melville. This is evidenced through the submission of various applications and funding bids.

#### 43. <u>Alternative Provision</u>

On the ground floor the consented hotel had a fairly modest floor space with a reception area and use of some of the cores. The proposed scheme shows this going over to restaurant space, which is consistent with the previously consented use. The cinema use will also have a ground floor access to the front of the building. This is also considered to comply with PLY36 through provision of accessible active ground floor uses.

44. On the 1st floor and 2nd floor, the consented hotel used approx. 50% of the floor space, equating to approx. 3270 m2 floor space.

45. The hotel floor space is in part replaced by the cinema on the 2nd floor with 870m2 of use. The remaining floor space on the first and second floor is replaced by the office floorspace, approx. 2290 sqm. B1 office is one of the previously consented uses and there is no objection to this change. The remaining floor space on the ground floor is replaced by retail/restaurant uses which is as per the previously approved scheme. 46. It is considered that the cinema helps to mitigate against the loss of the hotel, through an alternative visitor offer, as detailed in paras 10 - 13 above. It is considered that the provision of office helps to mitigate against the loss of hotel through alternative employment provision. This has been confirmed with ED. Using the proposed floor space, and the HCA employment density guide as referenced in ED comments above, this indicates that the hotel would provide 30 jobs, but the cinema and offices would total 170 jobs. In fact the ED calculation was based on slightly reduced office floors space for office, so using the correct floor space 2290 sqm, this would equate to 190 jobs for the office space totalling 194 jobs. It is acknowledged this is an approximation based on benchmarked figures but indicate there is likely to be an increase in employment resulting from this application. This is in accordance with SPT4, PLY1 and PLY2 of the Joint Local Plan.

#### 47. <u>Heritage and Heritage Building at Risk</u>

Melville is a Grade I Listed building, and is currently on the Heritage at Risk register. As noted by Historic England (HE), this has been a longstanding item on this register, and is a material planning consideration. HE have advised that this proposal will not have any adverse impact upon its significance and strongly support the proposals, which will see activity and investment into the vacant building.

48. One of HE's previous concerns was the amount of subdivision required by the hotel (or residential) use, and therefore HE required a certain amount of more open plan uses, such as the office space, which was then reflected in the approved plans. This application will increase the amount of open plan uses through both the cinema and office provision, and therefore is considered to preserve more of the character and appearance of the building than the previously consented scheme.

49. The NPPF places great weight upon conserving heritage assets (para 193). On the basis of the above heritage considerations, these also indicate that the loss of the hotel may be acceptable in this instance, and these considerations are given great weight.

#### 50. Principle - Loss of hotel conclusion

Whilst site allocation policy PLY36 requires a hotel as part of the uses of Melville, and PLY21 requires strategic opportunities for hotels to be protected, there are material planning considerations that indicate otherwise.

#### 51. This includes:

- The viability assessment which indicates a hotel is not viable
- The economic development comments which indicate that the loss of a hotel would not give rise to harm to the visitor offer
- The attempts made to deliver a hotel on site which also indicates it is not viable
- The alternative provision of an office and cinema on the site which will help to mitigate against the loss of the hotel through visitor offer and employment
- the benefits of bringing a Heritage Building at Risk back into use and the benefits of retaining more open plan uses and therefore conserving more of the heritage asset
- The provision of alternative hotel accommodation within the RWY

52. On the basis of the above, it is considered that there are significant material considerations that indicate why a hotel is not deliverable on the site and that the loss of the hotel will not harm the city visitor offer. Officers accept the principle in this instance.

53. <u>Heritage</u>

## 54. Listed Building

There is a duty under Section 66 of the Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act 1990. In considering whether to grant planning permission for development which affects a listed building or its setting to have special regard to the desirability of preserving the building or its setting or any features of special architectural or historic interest which it possesses.

55. The supporting information states that the cinema is proposed to be formed by creating 3 auditorium pods. This is considered to preserve the internal open plan spaces of the building.

56. The external works do not change from the previously approved scheme.

57. HE have strongly supported this application, and the key focus is securing a viable use for the building. The LPA Historic Environment Officer (HEO) also has no objections and has advised the details will be confirmed on receipt of the listed building application.

58. As noted above, in the original application, HE and the LPA HEO had concerns regarding the amount of subdivision of the building due to the proposed hotel.

59. Overall, it is considered that the loss of the hotel with associated subdivided floor space, and replacement with more open plan uses (cinema and office) is considered to better preserve the large open spaces within the building. Therefore it is considered that there has been special regard to preserving the building and its features of special architectural and historical interest, in this case the large open plan nature of the building.

60. It is therefore considered that the application complies with DEV21 of the Joint Local Plan and NPPF and that the proposal preserves the features of architectural and historic interest.

#### 61. <u>Conservation Area</u>

There is a duty under Section 72 of the Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act 1990 to pay special attention to the preservation or enhancement of the character of the surrounding conservation area. Here it is considered that as the application does not make any external alterations from the previously consented scheme, there are no significant implications for the conservation area (Stonehouse Peninsula). Consideration has also been given to the Conservation Area Appraisal and Management Plan, and it is not considered that there are any conflicts with this plan. Therefore the character and appearance of the conservation area is considered to be preserved and enhanced, through bringing a vacant Heritage Building at Risk back into use. In addition, given there are no external changes, there are no additional design considerations as part of this application.

62. The application is therefore considered to comply with DEV 20 and DEV21 of the Joint Local Plan.

#### 63. Highway safety and parking

The Highways Authority have not raised any concerns regarding highway safety. The main consideration is whether any changes are required to parking demands as a result of the change of uses. There are no changes to the previous parking arrangements approved under the previous scheme.

64. The Highway Authority has confirmed that with the previously approved parking arrangements in place, there will be sufficient parking for the proposed uses. However there remains peak demand at RWY when events are being held, and the Highway Authority have queried again the events management plan. It has been agreed with the applicants to review the events management plan, along with the Transport Strategy. As these are both covered by conditions on the consent

(conditions 15 and 17) there is no objection to the proposed application on the basis of highway grounds.

65. The application is therefore considered to comply with DEV29 of the Joint Local Plan.

## 66. <u>Amenity and Noise</u>

This application will not give rise to any additional overlooking, impact upon privacy or loss of light as a result of the proposed changes. The key consideration in terms of amenity is the noise impact.

67. The hotel was a noise generating use, so this impact will be reduced, however the cinema is also a noise generating use which needs to be assessed. A noise assessment was submitted with the previous application. This has been updated to address this issue. This confirms that "each cinema screen is within an acoustically isolated box, separate to the roof element."

68. It goes on to state that "The predicted noise levels at the closest receptors are in the order of 12 dB LAeq,T, this is significantly below the prevailing night-time background noise levels, typically between 15-20 dB below the prevailing background noise, when the cinema is likely to be operational. According to the research by Craik and Stirling this should be acceptable. Assuming a 10-15 dB open window attenuation value the internal noise level is extremely low should be clearly acceptable."

69. PPS have no objection to the proposal, and recommend a condition (38) that states noise emitted must not exceed 5dB above the background level when measured at the façade of the nearest residential dwelling. In addition, officers have proposed changes to conditions 23 (noise management plan) and 30 (gym and cinema use siting) to ensure that the management of noise is properly addressed.

70. Overall this proposal is considered to comply with DEVI and DEV2 of the Joint Local Plan.

## 71. Flood Risk

The application site is partially located within Flood Zone 2 along the front (North West) elevation. There are no changes to the previously approved flood mitigation strategy, however the new cinema use needs to be assessed in flood terms. A cinema is classed as a 'less vulnerable' use in planning flood risk terms. As the hotel was considered a 'more vulnerable' use, this proposal actually represents a reduction in flood risk. Consultation has taken place with the EA, which confirm the above approach, and raise no objection provided previous conditions are applied, which is standard practice for this type of application. The application is considered to comply with DEV35 of the Joint Local Plan.

## 72. Comment on letters of representation

One letter of representation was submitted supporting the proposal, although caveated with two queries. Further clarity was requested on the acoustic impact of open air seating and potential early morning opening hours. It is believed that this is in reference to the noise report, which referenced the previously approved roof terraces. These were considered and approved as part of the previously approved application and therefore not under consideration as part of this application. Notwithstanding this, there is a condition on the application that restrict opening hours for outside seating (condition 24) and a management plan (condition 23).

73. The other query was in relation to the timeline for development, specifically the parking and reservoir parking works. The applicant has confirmed that "in terms of programme at this stage we are onsite with the heritage works to Melville and subject to lettings the intention is that the works to Melville are forecast to take over 2 years to complete." Condition 16 deals with the implementation of the parking.

#### 9. Human Rights

Human Rights Act - The development has been assessed against the provisions of the Human Rights Act, and in particular Article I of the First Protocol and Article 8 of the Act itself. This Act gives further effect to the rights included in the European Convention on Human Rights. In arriving at this recommendation, due regard has been given to the applicant's reasonable development rights and expectations which have been balanced and weighed against the wider community interests, as expressed through third party interests / the Development Plan and Central Government Guidance.

#### **10. Local Finance Considerations**

If residential floor space is included, under either this or the previous application, then there will be a CIL liability.

#### II. Planning Obligations

The purpose of planning obligations is to mitigate or compensate for adverse impacts of a development, or to prescribe or secure something that is needed to make the development acceptable in planning terms. Planning obligations can only lawfully constitute a reason for granting planning permission where the three statutory tests of Regulation 122 of the CIL Regulations 2010 are met.

This application will be subject to a \$106 agreement, as per the previous application. There is no change to the previously agreed \$106.

A summary is included below:

Shrub-bed enhancements: Yearly Contribution for 5 years £7,000 per year Total Contribution £35,000 Access/Signage/Interpretation Total Contribution £40,000 Masterplan Contribution Total Contribution £25,000 Creation of Wildflower Meadow European Marine Site: Residential £823, or Hotel £593.40 S106 Monitoring Fee £1800

Total S106 Mitigation £102,393.40

#### **12. Equalities and Diversities**

This planning application has had due regard to Section 149 of the Equality Act with regard to the Public Sector Equality Duty and has concluded that the application does not cause discrimination on the grounds of gender, race and disability.

As per the previously approved application, all the ground floor will be level access, with level thresholds. Lift access is provided internally, which means the upper floors are fully accessible, including the proposed cinema. The only exception to this is the clock tower which cannot be accessed by lift.

#### 13. Conclusions and Reasons for Decision

Officers have taken account of the NPPF and S38(6) of the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004. In this case the proposal does not fully accord with the development plan, through the removal of a condition requiring a hotel to be delivered. Other material considerations have been considered, as outlined in para 51 above and the rest of section 8 Analysis of the report. It is considered that these material considerations considered indicate that the removal of the hotel condition is acceptable in this instance.

Consideration is also given to NPPF para 9, which states that "Planning policies and decisions should play an active role in guiding development towards sustainable solutions, but in doing so should take local circumstances into account, to reflect the character, needs and opportunities of each area." This application is considered to reflect an opportunity to bring a Heritage Building at Risk back into use and contribute towards the visitor offer at Royal William Yard. This then also supports the city vision of becoming one of Europe's finest waterfront cities. The proposed cinema is considered to accord with policy and national guidance and is therefore recommended for conditional approval.

#### **14. Recommendation**

In respect of the application dated 20.05.2019 it is recommended to Grant conditionally subject to S106. Delegated authority to service director to refuse if agreed decision timescales are not met.

#### 15. Conditions / Reasons

The development hereby permitted shall be carried out in accordance with the following approved plans:

#### CONDITION: COMMENCE WITHIN 2 YEARS

The development hereby permitted shall be begun before the expiration of 09.05.2017, which is two years beginning from the date of original permission 16/01376/FUL.

#### Reason:

To comply with Section 51 of the Planning & Compulsory Purchase Act 2004 and in accordance with Core Strategy Objective 10(8) (Delivering Adequate Housing Supply) and Policy SPT3 of the Plymouth and South West Devon Joint Local Plan.

#### 2 CONDITION: APPROVED PLANS

The development hereby permitted shall be carried out in accordance with the following approved plans:

SK-100 REV PL2 PROPOSED GROUND FLOOR PLAN SK-101 REV PL2 PROPOSED FIRST FLOOR PLAN SK-102 REV PL2 PROPOSED SECOND FLOOR PLAN 1103 GA 001 PL1 Site Location Plan 1103-GA-013 rev A Proposed roof plan 1103 GA 030 PL2 Proposed north elevation 1103-GA-031 PLI Proposed east elevation 1103-GA-032 PLI Proposed south elevation 1103-GA-033 PLI Proposed west elevation 1103-GA-034 PL1 Proposed inner north range 1103-GA-035 PL1 Proposed inner east range 1103-GA-036 PLI Proposed inner south range 1103-GA-037 PLI Proposed inner west range 1103-GA-040 PLI Proposed Section AA 1103-GA-041 PL1 Proposed Section BB 1103-GA-042 PLI Proposed Section CC 1103-GA-043 PLI Proposed Section DD 1103-GA-04 PLI Proposed Section EE 1103-GA-045 PLI Proposed Section FF

1103-GA-080 PLI External Repairs- North Elevation 1103-GA-081 PLI External Repairs- East Elevation 1103-GA-082 PLI External Repairs- South Elevation 1103-GA-083 PL1 External Repairs West Elevation 1103-GA-084 PLI External Repairs Inner Courtyard I 1103-GA-085 PLI External Repairs Inner Courtyard 2 1103-GA-210 Rev | Devils Point Proposed Plan 1103-SCH-100 PL1 Historic Fabric Treatments- Ground 1 1103-SCH-101 PL1 Historic Fabric Treatments- Ground 2 1103-SCH-102 PL1 Historic Fabric Treatments- First 1 1103-SCH-103 PL1 Historic Fabric Treatments- First 2 1103-SCH-104 PL1 Historic Fabric Treatments- Second 1 1103-SCH-105 PL1 Historic Fabric Treatments- Second 2 1103-SCH-106 PLI Repairs and Alteration Schedule- Ground 1103-SCH-107 PLI Repairs and Alteration Schedule- First 1103-SCH-108 PLI Repairs and Alteration Schedule- Second 1103-SCH-109 PL1 Repairs and Alteration Schedule- Roof 1103-C-020 PL1 Typical loading bay door (frameless) 1103-C-021 PL1 Typical loading bay door (metal frame) 1103-C-022 PLI Typical loading bay door section 1103-C-023 PLI Typical louvred window to plant 1103-C-025 PL1 Loading bay door railings 1103-C-026 PL1 Public Routes Plan & Section 1 1103-C-027 PL1 Public Routes Section 2 & Details 1103-C-030 PLI New Core 4 staircase plan 1103-C-030 PL1 New Core 4 staircase section 1103-C-033 PLI Clocktower staircase 1103-A-001 PLI Typical partition details 1103-A-002 PL1 Ceiling Type A 1103-A-003 PL1 Ceiling Type B 1103-A-011 PL1 Junction to existing/acoustic floors 1103-A-050 PL1 Typical parapet detail (slate finish) 1103-A-051 PL1 Typical new insulated roof detail 1103-A-052 PLI Typical Louvred Plenum Details 1103-A-054 PLI Roof lantern details 1103-A-070 PL1 Typical new openings to internal stone walls 1103-A-071 PLI Enlarged openings to courtyard

1103-A-074 PL1 Roof Terrace Details

Reason:

For the avoidance of doubt and in the interests of good planning, in accordance with policy CS34 of the Plymouth Local Development Framework Core Strategy (2006-2021) 2007, and paragraphs 61-66 of the National Planning Policy Framework 2012. It is noted that the Core Strategy has been superseded by the Joint Local Plan, and the NPPF has been updated 2018. It therefore also in accordance with Plymouth & South West Devon Joint Local Plan 2014-2034 (2019) and NPPF (2018).

## 3 EMPLOYMENT AND SKILLS STRATEGY

Development shall be carried out in accordance with the Employment and Skills Plan and Employment and Skills Plan Method Statement as approved through 17/01309/CDM, unless an alternative strategy has been approved in writing.

Reason:

To ensure employment and skills development in accordance with policy CS04 of the Plymouth Local Development Framework Core-Strategy (2006-2021) 2007. It is noted that the Core Strategy has been superseded by the Plymouth and South West Joint Local Plan. It is therefore in accordance with DEV19 of the Plymouth and South West Devon Joint Local Plan.

Justification: To ensure that opportunities for employment are incorporated into the development, including the construction/conversion period.

## 4 PRE-COMMENCEMENT: CONSTRUCTION ENVINRONMENT MANAGEMENT PLAN

Development shall be carried out in accordance with the Construction Environmental Management Plan (rev no 2, issued 10/05/17) and plan MELVILLE/AB/SKDRG 01 E, as approved through 17/01309/CDM, unless an alternative strategy is agreed in writing.

Prior to commencement of works to Devil's Point and/or the reservoir, a Construction Environment Management Plan shall be submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. Development shall be carried out in accordance with the approved plan throughout the implementation of the scheme hereby approved.

The CEMP should:

I. Provide information about how the County Wildlife Site and the adjacent Site of Special Scientific Interest (SSSI) will be protected from damage

2. Follow the Environment Agency's Pollution Prevention Guideline 5, to minimise any impact associated with the construction works

3. Limit the dumping, spreading or discharge of any materials and chemicals on site;

4. Ensure that all chemicals are securely stored at a safe distance away from the intertidal area during construction.

- 5. Minimise disturbance from works and machinery on the marine environment.
- 6. Minimise debris entering the marine environment.

Reason:

To ensure the development does not impact upon water quality and to avoid conflict with Policy CS22 and to ensure wildlife habitats are protected to comply with Policies CS19 and CS34 of the Plymouth Local Development Framework Core Strategy (2006-2021) 2007. It is noted that the Core Strategy has been superseded by the Plymouth and South West Joint Local Plan. It is therefore in accordance with DEV1, DEV2 and DEV26 of the Plymouth and South West Devon Joint Local Plan.

Justification: To ensure that wildlife habitats and water quality are adequately protected from the development.

## 5 PRE-COMMENCEMENT: LANDSCAPE DESIGN PROPOSALS

No development shall take place to Devils Point, Reservoir or Nursery car park until full details of both hard and soft landscape works and a programme for their implementation have been submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority and these works shall be carried out as approved. These details shall include proposed finished levels or contours, including bunding/mounds; means of enclosure, including details of gates, repair works to walls, and the embossed concrete wall; car parking layouts; other vehicle and pedestrian access and circulation areas; details of the boundary between the access to the Reservoir and Devil's Point green space, hard surfacing materials; minor artefacts and structures (e.g. furniture, refuse or other storage units,

signs, lighting etc.); proposed and existing functional services above and below ground (e.g. drainage, power, communications cables, pipelines etc., indicating lines, manholes, supports etc.); retained historic landscape features and proposals for restoration, where relevant; planting plans including the location of all proposed plants their species, numbers, densities, type (i.e. bare root/container grown or root balled, girth size and height (in accordance with the HTA National Plant specification), planting specification including topsoil depths, soiling operations, cultivation, soil ameliorants and all works of ground preparation, and plant specification including handling, planting, seeding, turfing, mulching and plant protection].

#### Reason:

To ensure that satisfactory landscape works are carried out in accordance with Policies CS18 and CS34 of the Plymouth Local Development Framework Core Strategy (2006-2021) 2007, and paragraphs 61, 109 and 118 of the National Planning Policy Framework 2012. It is noted that the Core Strategy has been superseded by the Plymouth and South West Joint Local Plan.

Justification: To ensure the landscaping can be properly incorporated within the development proposals.

#### 6 PRE-COMMENCEMENT: FIT OUT REFUSE STRATEGY

CONDITION: Prior to commencement of the fit out for each unit, details of the siting and form of bin store for disposal of refuse and recycling for each unit shall be submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. The refuse storage provision for each unit shall be fully implemented before the respective unit is first occupied/brought into use and henceforth permanently made available for future occupiers/users of the site.

#### Reason:

In order to ensure that adequate, safe and convenient refuse and recycling storage provision is provided and made available for use by future occupiers and to protect the residential and general amenity of the area from noise emanating from delivery and waste collection activities in accordance with Policies CS22 and CS34 of the Plymouth Local Development Framework Core Strategy (2006-2021) 2007. It is noted that the Core Strategy has been superseded by the Plymouth and South West Joint Local Plan.

Justification: To ensure that the development can reasonably accommodate the refuse requirements that are acceptable to the local planning authority.

## 7 PRE-COMMENCEMENT: GYM MITIGATION

Prior to commencement of the D2 Gym, details of management, hours of operation, and floor mitigation to prevent noise and vibration, shall be submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. The agreed details shall be fully implemented before the Gym is first occupied/brought into use and henceforth permanently retained as such unless an alternative strategy is agreed in writing.

#### Reason:

To protect the residential and general amenity of the area from noise emanating from the gym and avoid conflict with Policy CS22 of the Plymouth Local Development Framework Core Strategy (2006 - 2021) 2007. It is noted that the Core Strategy has been superseded by the Plymouth and South West Joint Local Plan.

Justification: To ensure any gym use is of adequate construction to prevent issues relating to noise and vibration.

## 8 PRE-COMMENCEMENT: STREET DETAILS DEVIL'S POINT

No development shall take place to the Nursery car park, Devil's Point or the Reservoir until details of the design, layout, levels, gradients, materials and method of construction and drainage of all roads and footways forming part of the development have been submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority.

Reason:

To provide a road and footpath pattern that secures a safe and convenient environment and to a satisfactory standard in accordance with Policies CS28 and CS34 of the Plymouth Local Development Framework Core Strategy (2006-2021) 2007. It is noted that the Core Strategy has been superseded by the Plymouth and South West Joint Local Plan.

Justification: To ensure that the development can reasonably accommodate the external design / layout / levels / gradients / materials etc that are acceptable to the local planning authority.

## 9 PRE-COMMENCEMENT: GROUND WORKS: DRAINAGE

Prior to commencement of any ground works of Melville, details of a scheme for the management of the site's surface water shall be submitted to and approved by the Local Planning Authority. The details shall include as a minimum;

i. details of the final drainage scheme, including pathways and flow routes for excess surface water during extreme weather,

ii. A construction quality control procedure, and

iii. A plan for the future maintenance of the system and of any overland flow routes.

Prior to occupation of the site it shall be demonstrated to the satisfaction of the Local Planning Authority that the scheme is completed in accordance with the agreed details. The scheme shall thereafter be maintained in accordance with the approved details unless otherwise agreed in writing by the Local Planning Authority. It is noted that the Core Strategy has been superseded by the Plymouth and South West Joint Local Plan.

Reason:

To prevent the increased risk of flooding and minimise the risk of pollution of surface water by ensuring the provision of a satisfactory means of surface water control and disposal during and after development in accordance with Policy CS21 and CS34 of the Plymouth Local Development Framework Core Strategy (2006-2021) 2007 and the NPPF.

Justification: To ensure the drainage provisions within the development are adequately provided for before development commences and does not cause undue problems to the wider drainage infrastructure.

## 10 PRE-COMMENCEMENT: WILDFLOWER PLANTING

Prior to commencement of works at Devil's Point or the Reservoir, a plan should be submitted and agreed in writing with the Local Planning Authority the location and management of 750SqM of wildflower planting. The delivery and long-term maintenance of the wildflowers must form part of the plan. The agreed planting shall be fully implemented and retained as such.

Reason:

In the interests enhancement of wildlife and features of biological interest, in accordance with Core Strategy policies CS01, CS19, CS34 and Government advice contained in the NPPF paragraphs 109,

I 18 as outlined within the applicants EMES. It is noted that the Core Strategy has been superseded by the Plymouth and South West Joint Local Plan.

Justification: To ensure the landscaping can be properly incorporated within the development proposals.

## **PRE-OCCUPATION: FLOOD DEFENCE DELIVERY PLAN**

Unless otherwise agreed in writing by the Local Planning Authority, prior to the occupation of the development, a detailed delivery plan for the implementation and upgrading of flood defences for Royal William Yard as a whole shall be submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. The agreed delivery plan shall then be fully implemented.

## Reason:

To ensure that an appropriate standard of flood protection is provided and maintained for the proposed development now and in the future , in accordance with the National Planning Policy Framework and Policy CS21 of the Plymouth Local Development Framework Core Strategy (2006-2021) 2007. It is noted that the Core Strategy has been superseded by the Plymouth and South West Joint Local Plan.

## 12 PRE-OCCUPATION: FLOOD RESILIANCE MEASURES

Prior to the occupation of Melville, details of the measures intended to provide flood resilience and resistance for the development shall be submitted to the Local Planning Authority, for approval in writing. The approved measures shall be installed before the occupation of the building, or in accordance with a programme of implementation, and shall thereafter be maintained.

## Reason:

To reduce the risk of flooding to the proposed development and future users in accordance with the National Planning Policy Framework and Policy CS21 of the Plymouth Local Development Framework Core Strategy (2006-2021) 2007. It is noted that the Core Strategy has been superseded by the Plymouth and South West Joint Local Plan.

## 13 PRE-OCCUPATION: FLOOD EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT PLAN

Unless otherwise agreed in writing by the Local Planning Authority, prior to the occupation of the development, a detailed flood emergency management plan for Royal William Yard shall be submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. The development shall be operated and occupied fully in compliance with the agreed strategy thereafter.

Reason:

To reduce the risk of flooding to the proposed development and future users in accordance with the National Planning Policy Framework and Policy CS21 of the Plymouth Local Development Framework Core Strategy (2006-2021) 2007. It is noted that the Core Strategy has been superseded by the Plymouth and South West Joint Local Plan.

## 14 PRE-OCCUPATION: LANDSCAPE ECOLOGY MANAGEMENT PLAN

A landscape ecology management plan, including long term objectives, management responsibilities and maintenance schedules for all landscape areas, other than small privately owned domestic gardens, shall be submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority prior to the

occupation of the development or any phase of the development, whichever is the sooner, for its permitted use. The landscape ecology management plan shall be carried out as approved.

## Reason:

To ensure that satisfactory landscaping works are carried out in accordance with Policies CS18 and CS34 of the Plymouth Local Development Framework Core Strategy (2006-2021) 2007, and paragraphs 61, 109 and 118 of the National Planning Policy Framework 2012. It is noted that the Core Strategy has been superseded by the Plymouth and South West Joint Local Plan.

## 15 PRE-OPERATION: EVENT SPACE MANAGEMENT PLAN CONDITION

The applicant shall submit to the LPA for approval an Events Space Management Plan prior to first operation. The plan should indicate the maximum numbers of people permitted, methods of control for numbers/security, noise and entertainment. It should also document how any event organisers propose to provide toilet facilities for the public during the period of any events being undertaken, and standards with regards to post event cleaning. The event space management plan shall be carried out as approved.

Reason:

To ensure that suitable standards are adhered to prevent unacceptable levels of disturbance to comply with policies CS22 and CS34 of the adopted City of Plymouth Core Strategy Development Plan Document 2007. It is noted that the Core Strategy has been superseded by the Plymouth and South West Joint Local Plan.

## 16 PRE-OCCUPATION: CAR PARK DELIVERY STRATEGY

No occupation of the Melville building shall take place until a plan for the phased delivery of car parking has been submitted to and approved in writing by the LPA and the approved spaces shall be provided in accordance with the approved strategy. Each car parking space shall be constructed, drained and surfaced and thereafter the use of that space shall be managed in strict accordance with the updated RWY Transport Strategy.

## Reason:

To enable vehicles used by staff or visitors to the RWY to be parked off the public highway so as to avoid damage to amenity and interference with the free flow of traffic on the highway in accordance with Policies CS28 and CS34 of the Plymouth Local Development Framework Core Strategy (2006-2021) 2007. It is noted that the Core Strategy has been superseded by the Plymouth and South West Joint Local Plan.

## 17 PRE-OCCUPATION: RWY TRANSPORT STRATEGY

The occupation of the building shall not commence until an updated RWY Transport Strategy (previously dated May 2014) has been submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. The revised document will take into account changes to the layout of car parking areas along with details relating to the management and control of those areas.

## Reason:

To ensure that the over-arching TS for the RWY has been updated to reflect the changes to it brought about by this application and that it continues to promote the use of sustainable modes of travel for journeys being made to and from the RWY through both the Travel and Events Management Plans in accordance with Policies CS28 and CS34 of the Plymouth Local Development Framework Core Strategy (2006-2021) 2007. It is noted that the Core Strategy has been superseded by the Plymouth and South West Joint Local Plan.

## 18 PRE-OCCUPATION: CYCLE PROVISION

The building shall not be occupied until space has been laid out within the site in accordance with details previously submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority for an appropriate level of cycle parking to be provided which is in accordance with the minimum standards as set out within the Development Guidelines SPD. The secure area for storing bicycles shown on the approved plan shall remain available for its intended purpose and shall not be used for any other purpose without the prior consent of the Local Planning Authority.

#### Reason:

In order to promote cycling as an alternative to the use of private cars in accordance with Policy CS28 of the Plymouth Local Development Framework Core Strategy (2006-2021) 2007. It is noted that the Core Strategy has been superseded by the Plymouth and South West Joint Local Plan.

## 19 PRE-OCCUPATION: LOADING AND UNLOADING PROVISION

Before any part of the building is occupied, adequate provision shall be made to enable goods vehicles to be loaded and unloaded within the site in accordance with details previously submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority.

Reason:

To enable such vehicles to be loaded and unloaded clear of the access routes that serve the RWY so as to avoid:- (i) damage to amenity; (ii) prejudice to public safety and convenience; and (iii) interference with the free flow of traffic on the highway; in accordance with Policies CS28 and CS34 of the Plymouth Local Development Framework Core Strategy (2006-2021) 2007. It is noted that the Core Strategy has been superseded by the Plymouth and South West Joint Local Plan.

#### 20 PRE-OCCUPATION: TRAVEL PLAN

A Travel Plan for Melville building shall be submitted to the Local Planning Authority no later than 6 months prior to occupation of the building. The said Travel Plan shall seek to encourage staff and all site users to use modes of transport other than the private car to get to and from the RWY in accordance with the approved site-wide Travel Plan. It shall include measures to control the use of the permitted car parking areas; arrangements for monitoring the use of provisions available through the operation of the Travel Plan; details of associated funding to support sustainable travel initiatives and the name, position and contact telephone number of the person responsible for its implementation. The use hereby permitted shall not be occupied until the Travel Plan for the Melville building has been approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority and from the date of occupation the occupier shall operate the approved Travel Plan.

#### Reason:

The Local Planning Authority considers that such measures need to be taken in order to reduce reliance on the use of private cars (particularly single occupancy journeys) and to assist in the promotion of more sustainable travel choices in accordance with Policy CS28 of the Plymouth Local Development Framework Core Strategy (2006-2021) 2007. The applicant should contact Plymouth Transport and Infrastructure for site-specific advice prior to preparing the Travel Plan. It is noted that the Core Strategy has been superseded by the Plymouth and South West Joint Local Plan.

## 21 CONDITION: BOLLARD IMPLEMENTATION

Prior to the first use of the reservoir car park, in accordance with details to be agreed by the local planning authority, fold down bollards will be installed at the reservoir entrance and retained in situ (to be used if occurrences of anti-social behaviour).

Reason:

In order to prevent disorder and fear of crime in accordance with sections 58 and 69 of the National Planning Policy Framework March 2012 and Core Strategy Policy CS32 designing out crime. It is noted that the Core Strategy has been superseded by the Plymouth and South West Joint Local Plan.

## 22 CONDITION: NOISE FROM PLANT AND EQUIPMENT

The noise emanating from the fans/ventilation equipment/air conditioning/plant/etc. (LAeqT) should not exceed the background noise level (LA90) by more than 5dB, including the character/tonalities of the noise, at any time as measured at the façade of the nearest residential property.

Reason:

To protect the residential and general amenity of the area from noise and odour emanating from the operation of any mechanical extract ventilation system and avoid conflict with Policy CS22 of the Plymouth Local Development Framework Core Strategy (2006-2021) 2007. It is noted that the Core Strategy has been superseded by the Plymouth and South West Joint Local Plan.

## 23 CONDITION: NOISE MANAGEMENT PLAN HOTEL, A3, CINEMA USE

Prior to the operation of the hotel, cinema or any A3 use, a management plan shall be submitted and agreed in writing by the Local Planning Authority. Once agreed the management plan shall be fully implemented and complied with unless otherwise agreed in writing.

As a minimum the management plan must specify;

- The position of the designated smoking areas which must be at least 2 metres away from the façade of any building with residential properties adjacent or above.

- The controls to ensure that the smoking area must be used for smoking only. In line with any time restrictions.

The actions in place to control any noise likely to affect nearby residential properties.
 How the applicant intends to monitor any activity that may affect residential properties,

which should include as a minimum the provision of hourly security checks of the outdoor seating to identify any activity or behaviour that may affect residential properties.

- Procedure for implementation of appropriate control measures to deal with unacceptable activity that may impact on the amenity of the area.

- Supplying residents a phone number of the security desk to contact in the event of any disturbance. Any calls received must be recorded and made available to the Local Authority.

- A system of training must be completed and maintained with local businesses operating in Royal William Yard, to ensure compliance at all times with the management plan.

- The management plan must be reviewed annually and on any occasion when significant changes to the businesses take place or any complaints are received from local residents.

Reason:

To protect the residential and general amenity of the area from noise emanating from the external seating areas and avoid conflict with Policy CS22 of the Plymouth Local Development Framework Core Strategy (2006-2021) 2007. It is noted that the Core Strategy has been superseded by the Plymouth and South West Joint Local Plan. It is therefore in accordance with DEV1 and DEV2 of the Plymouth and South West Devon Joint Local Plan.

## 24 CONDITION: NOISE HOURS OF OPERATION

The outdoor seating areas must not be used outside of the following times: -

Monday to Saturday 10.00 - 22.30 hrs

Sunday 11.00 - 22.30 hrs,

After 21:00 no external seating is to be allocated to customers. The external seating must be cleared of customers by 22:30.

External furniture must only be set up or removed between the hours of 10:00 am and 22:40pm Monday - Saturday and 11:00am and 22:40pm on Sundays.

Reason:

To protect the residential and general amenity of the area from noise emanating from the external seating areas and avoid conflict with Policy CS22 of the Plymouth Local Development Framework Core Strategy (2006-2021) 2007. It is noted that the Core Strategy has been superseded by the Plymouth and South West Joint Local Plan.

## 25 CONDITION: OPERATIONAL RESTRICTIONS TO ENSURE USE REFLECTS USE CLASS

The supply of alcoholic drinks to the external seating area must be by waiter/waitress service only. In prominent positions in the outside seating areas signage must be present stating the opening times of the outside area and that it is served by waiter/waitress service only.

Reason:

To protect the residential and general amenity of the area from noise emanating from the external seating areas and avoid conflict with Policy CS22 of the Plymouth Local Development Framework Core Strategy (2006-2021) 2007. It is noted that the Core Strategy has been superseded by the Plymouth and South West Joint Local Plan.

## 26 CONDITION: OUTDOOR TABLES AND FURNITURE

Any moveable furniture used in the outside area must fitted with rubber feet.

Reason:

To protect the residential and general amenity of the area from noise emanating from the external seating areas and avoid conflict with Policy CS22 of the Plymouth Local Development Framework Core Strategy (2006-2021) 2007. It is noted that the Core Strategy has been superseded by the Plymouth and South West Joint Local Plan.

## 27 CONDITION: NOISE / MUSIC

Music is not permitted in the outdoor seating areas, unless agreed in writing from the Local Planning Authority.

Reason:

To protect the residential and general amenity of the area from noise emanating from the external seating areas and avoid conflict with Policy CS22 of the Plymouth Local Development Framework Core Strategy (2006-2021) 2007. It is noted that the Core Strategy has been superseded by the Plymouth and South West Joint Local Plan.

### Page 33

#### 28 CONDITION: SMOKING AREA - NOISE AND ODOUR NUISANCE

Smoking shall only take place in designated smoking areas which must be at least 2 metres away from the façade of any building with residential properties adjacent or above. Prior to use any smoking area must be approved by the Local Planning Authority. After 22:30 hours the designated area must be used for smoking only.

#### Reason:

To protect the residential and general amenity of the area from noise and odour emanating from the external seating areas and avoid conflict with Policy CS22 of the Plymouth Local Development Framework Core Strategy (2006-2021) 2007. It is noted that the Core Strategy has been superseded by the Plymouth and South West Joint Local Plan.

#### 29 CONDITION: REPORT UNEXPECTED CONTAMINATION

In the event that contamination is found at any time when carrying out the approved development that was not previously identified it must be reported in writing immediately to the Local Planning Authority. An investigation and risk assessment must be undertaken and where further remediation is necessary a remediation scheme must be prepared which is subject to the approval in writing of the Local Planning Authority.

#### Reason:

To ensure that risks from land contamination to the future users of the land and neighbouring land are minimised, together with those to controlled waters, property and ecological systems, and to ensure development can be carried out safely without unacceptable risk to workers, neighbours and other offsite receptors in accordance with Policy CS22 of the Plymouth Local Development Framework Core Strategy (2006 - 2021) 2007. It is noted that the Core Strategy has been superseded by the Plymouth and South West Joint Local Plan.

#### 30 CONDITION: GYM AND CINEMA USE SITING

Any D2 Use Gym and Cinema shall not be located immediately below, above or adjacent to C3 Residential.

#### Reason:

To prevent any resultant noise or vibration impact that would give rise to an impact upon future occupiers in accordance with Policy CS22 of the Plymouth Local Development Framework Core Strategy (2006 - 2021) 2007. It is noted that the Core Strategy has been superseded by the Plymouth and South West Joint Local Plan. It is therefore in accordance with DEV1 and DEV2 of the Plymouth and South West Devon Joint Local Plan.

#### 31 CONDITION: D2 GYM AND CINEMA USE RESTRICTION

The D2 premises shall be used for Gym and/or a Cinema and for no other purposes (including any other purpose in Class D2 of the Schedule to the Town and Country Planning (Use Classes) Order 1987, or in any provision equivalent to that Class in any statutory instrument revoking and reenacting that Order with or without modification).

The total D2 uses (combined cinema and gym floor space) shall be restricted to maximum of 3000sqm gross internal floor space.

Reason:

The Local Planning Authority considers that, in the particular circumstances of the case, the use of the premises for the purpose specified is appropriate but that a proposal to use the building for any other purposes would need to be made the subject of a separate

application to be considered on its merits in accordance with Policy CS34 of the Plymouth Local Development Framework Core Strategy (2006-2021) 2007, and paragraphs 61 and 123 of the National Planning Policy Framework 2012. It is noted that the Core Strategy has been superseded by the Plymouth and South West Joint Local Plan, and the NPPF has been updated NPPF (2018). Therefore it is also considered to be in accordance with Joint Local Plan policies DEV1, DEV2 and DEV16 and paragraphs 54 and 86 of the National Planning Policy Framework 2018.

#### 32 CONDITION: RETAIL RESTRICTION

The retail units shall not exceed a total of 600 sq. m GIA (m2).

Reason:

In order to ensure the retail offer does not harm the viability of the City Centre in accordance with CS07 and CS08 of the Plymouth Local Development Framework Core Strategy (2006-2021) 2007. It is noted that the Core Strategy has been superseded by the Plymouth and South West Joint Local Plan.

#### 33 CONDITION: A3 USES

The A3 units shall not exceed a total of 3100 sq. m GIA (m2).

Reason:

In order to ensure a mix of development consistent with Development Plan Policy MS01 of the Millbay and Stonehouse Area Action Plan and the A3 offer does not harm the viability of the City Centre in accordance with CS07 and CS08 of the Plymouth Local Development Framework Core Strategy (2006-2021) 2007. It is noted that the Core Strategy has been superseded by the Plymouth and South West Joint Local Plan.

#### 34 CONDITION: DI USES

The DI premises shall be used for art galleries, education/training centre (University or College) and for no other purposes (including any other purpose in Class DI of the Schedule to the Town and Country Planning (Use Classes) Order 1987, or in any provision equivalent to that Class in any statutory instrument revoking and re- enacting that Order with or without modification).

Reason:

The Local Planning Authority considers that, in the particular circumstances of the case, the use of the premises for the purpose specified is appropriate but that a proposal to use the building for any other purposes would need to be made the subject of a separate application to be considered on its merits in accordance with Policy CS34 of the Plymouth Local Development Framework Core Strategy (2006-2021) 2007, and paragraphs 61 and 123 of the National Planning Policy Framework 2012. It is noted that the Core Strategy has been superseded by the Plymouth and South West Joint Local Plan.

#### 35 CONDITION: TREE REPLACEMENT

If within a period of five years from the date of the planting of any tree that tree, or any tree planted in replacement for it, is removed, uprooted or destroyed or dies, or becomes, in the opinion of the Local Planning Authority, seriously damaged or defective, another tree of the same species and size as that originally planted shall be planted at the same place, unless the Local Planning Authority gives its written consent to any variation.

Reason:

To ensure that satisfactory landscaping works are carried out in accordance with Policies CS18 and CS34 of the Plymouth Local Development Framework Core Strategy (2006-2021) 2007 and are subsequently properly maintained, if necessary by replacement. It is noted that the Core Strategy has been superseded by the Plymouth and South West Joint Local Plan.

#### 36 CONDITION: ENERGY AND SUSTAINABILITY STATEMENT

Development shall be carried out in accordance with the M&E Energy & Sustainability Statement, unless otherwise agreed in writing by the Local Planning Authority.

Reason:

To comply with policy CS20 of the adopted City of Plymouth Core Strategy Development Plan Document 2007 and Government advice contained in the NPPF. It is noted that the Core Strategy has been superseded by the Plymouth and South West Joint Local Plan.

#### 37 CONDITION: BIODIVERSITY

Unless otherwise previously agreed in writing with the Local Planning Authority, the development shall be carried out in accordance with the Ecological Mitigation and Enhancement Strategy and addendum.

Reason:

In the interests of the retention, protection and enhancement of wildlife and features of biological interest, in accordance with Core Strategy policies CS01, CS19, CS34 and Government advice contained in the NPPF paragraphs 109, 118. It is noted that the Core Strategy has been superseded by the Plymouth and South West Joint Local Plan.

#### 38 CONDITION: CINEMA NOISE

Noise from the cinema must not exceed 5dB above the background level when measured at the façade of the nearest residential dwelling.

Reason: To protect the residential and general amenity of the area from noise emanating from the cinema pods in accordance with policies DEVI and DEV2 of the Plymouth and South West Devon Joint Local Plan.

#### INFORMATIVES

# I INFORMATIVE: (CIL LIABLE) DEVELOPMENT LIABLE FOR COMMUNITY INFRASTRUCTURE CONTRIBUTION

The Local Planning Authority has assessed that the residential development will attract an obligation to pay a financial levy under the Community Infrastructure Levy Regulations 2010 (as amended). Details of the process can be found on our website at www.plymouth.gov.uk/CIL. You can contact the Local Planning Authority at any point to discuss your liability calculation; however a formal Liability Notice will only be issued by the Local Planning Authority once "planning permission first permits development" as defined by the CIL Regulations. You must ensure that

## Page 36

you submit any relevant forms and get any pre-commencement details agreed before commencing work. Failure to do so may result in surcharges or enforcement action.

#### 2 INFORMATIVE: CONDITIONAL APPROVAL (NEGOTIATION)

In accordance with the requirements of Article 31 of the Town and Country Planning (Development Management Procedure) (England) Order 2010 and the National Planning Policy Framework 2019, the Council has worked in a positive and pro-active way with the Applicant including pre-application discussions and has negotiated amendments to the application to enable the grant of planning permission.

# Plymouth City Council Planning Compliance Summary – to end of August 2019

Cases outstanding	384
Cases received this month	43
Cases closed this month	54
(No breach identified)	(34)
(Informal/formal action taken)	(20)
Planning Contravention Notices Issued	I
Planning Contravention Notices Live	Ι
Planning Enforcement Notices Issued	0
Enforcement Notices <u>Live</u>	2
Temporary Stop Notices (TSN) issued	0
Temporary Stop Notices (TSN) Live	0
Untidy Land Notices Issued	I
Untidy Land Notices Live	9
Prosecutions Initiated	3
Prosecutions Live	0

DM/BW/REP.01.09.19

This page is intentionally left blank

Decision Date	Decision	Applicaition No:	Applicant	Proposal	Address	Case Officer
06/08/2019	Granted Conditionally	19/00773/FUL	Mr Dale Fletcher	Change of use of first floor from retail (Class A1) to tattoo shop (Sui Generis) (retrospective)	66 Cornwall Street City Centre Plymouth PL1 1LR	Mr Chris Cummings
06/08/2019	Granted Conditionally	19/00928/FUL	Mr Robert Housley- Jeive	Rear extension, side extension and replacement double garage at the rear of the property	48 Radford Park Road Plymouth PL9 9DP	Mr Mike Stone
06/08/2019	Granted Conditionally	19/00949/LBC	Mr P Davies	2no. air conditioning units (part retrospective)	61 Molesworth Road Stoke Plymouth PL1 5PF	Mr Dan Thorning
06/08/2019	Agreed	19/01026/CDM	John Hill	Condition Discharge: Condition 3 of application 15/00348/FUL	Basement Flat, 22 Camden Street Plymouth PL4 8NW	Miss Amy Thompson D age 3
06/08/2019	Agreed	19/01058/CDM	Mr Richard Wate	Condition Discharge: Conditions 3 & 11 of application 18/01837/FUL	The Hyperbaric Medical Centre 8 Research Way Plymouth PL6 8BU	Miss Amy Thompson
07/08/2019	Agreed	19/00175/CDM	Drake Circus Leisure Ltd	Condition Discharge: Condition 6b (external building lighting), 6c (roof plant), 11 (lighting) & 25 (CCTV) of application 17/01409/S73M	Bretonside Bus Station Bretonside Plymouth PL4 0BG	Mr John Douglass
07/08/2019	Refused	19/00610/ADV	Mr Lee Walters	Signage on Manor Street and Union Street elevations (retrospective)	102 Union Street Plymouth PL1 3HL	Mr Sam Lewis Agenda Item
07/08/2019	Granted Conditionally	19/00876/FUL	Mr Richard Whiting	Single storey front extension.	19 Ashwood Close Plymouth PL7 2FU	Mr Macauley Potter 8

Decision Date	Decision	Applicaition No:	Applicant	Proposal	Address	Case Officer
07/08/2019	Agreed	19/00926/CDM	Drake Circus Leisure Ltd	Condition Discharge: Condition 18 (parking charges and management) of application 17/01409/S73M	Bretonside Bus Station Bretonside Plymouth	Mr John Douglass
07/08/2019	Granted Conditionally	19/00946/FUL	Mr & Mrs McKee	Detached garage of 30 square metres.	41 Holtwood Road Plymouth PL6 7HU	Mr Macauley Potter
07/08/2019	Granted Conditionally	19/00954/FUL	Mrs R Prout	Rear extension	11 Chapel Way Plymouth PL3 5EE	Mrs Alumeci Tuima
07/08/2019	Granted Conditionally	19/00958/LBC	Mr Joseph Clingo	Replace two lights over access doors. Fit a new light over a third access door.	Devonport Dockyard Saltash Road Keyham Plymouth PL1 4SG	Mr Peter Lambert
07/08/2019	Granted Conditionally	19/00975/LBC	Plymouth City Council	Installation of 12 flagpoles	Tinside Pool Hoe Road Plymouth PL1 2NZ	Mr Mike Stone
07/08/2019	Granted Conditionally	19/00976/ADV	Plymouth City Council	Installation of 12 flagpoles with flags	Tinside Pool Hoe Road Plymouth PL1 2NZ	Mr Mike Stone 4
08/08/2019	Agreed	18/01876/CDMLB	Mr James Yorke	Condition Discharge: Conditions 1, 2, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9 & 11 of application 17/02044/LBC	Wyndham Hall Wyndham Street East Plymouth PL1 5HE	Mrs Katie Saunders
08/08/2019	Agreed	19/00561/CDM	Mr R Pillar	Condition Discharge: Condition 6 of application 18/01332/S73	Mannamead Centre 15 Eggbuckland Road Plymouth PL3 5HF	Mr Thomas Westrope

Decision Date	Decision	Applicaition No:	Applicant	Proposal	Address	Case Officer
08/08/2019	Granted Conditionally	19/00924/TCO	Mr Steve Davey	T1: Yew Tree next to Dormy Avenue - reduce whole crown by 2-3m (amendment agreed with agent 5/8/19): T2: Yew Tree: next to car park - raise crown over car park to give 2.4m	Chatworth Dormy Avenue Plymouth PL3 5BE	Mrs Jane Turner
				clearance above ground level (amendment agreed with agent 5/8/19).		
08/08/2019	Granted Conditionally	19/00951/FUL	Star Pubs & Bars	Raised decking area with balustrade, smoking shelter and new garden entrance	Ships Tavern & Kings Head Inn Arcadia Road Plymouth PL9 8EG	Mr Chris Cummings
08/08/2019	Granted Conditionally	19/00966/FUL	Mr Ian Schaul	Change of existing use of ground and first floors from financial and professional services (Class A2) and business (Class B1) to include non-residential education and	St Andrews Court, 45 Notte Street Plymouth PL1 2AG	Mr Chris Cummings
				training centres (Class D1)		
08/08/2019	Granted Conditionally	19/00985/FUL	British Telecom Plc	Replacement of 4x glazing with 4x aluminium acoustic louvres on the first floor south elevation	Telephone Exchange, 2-4 Elm Grove Plymouth PL7 2BW	Mr Macauley Potter age 41
09/08/2019	Granted Conditionally	19/00841/FUL	Mr Chris Duggan	Demolition of existing building and erection of 5no affordable/local needs bungalows with associated access and parking	Former Lark Early Years Centre Ham Green Lane Plymouth PL2 2LS	Mr Chris King
09/08/2019	Granted Conditionally	19/00862/FUL	Mr & Mrs Ford	Single storey side extension and replacement boundary fencing	237 Eggbuckland Road Plymouth Plymouth PL3 6QD	Mr Chris Cummings
09/08/2019	Granted Conditionally	19/00937/FUL	Mr Paul Normington	Single storey rear extension	34 Crossway Plymouth PL7 4HX	Mr Dan Thorning
09/08/2019	Granted Conditionally	19/00941/LBC	Mrs Lesley Grant	Replacement and alterations to windows, internal wall and door changes and other internal works	60 Molesworth Road Stoke Plymouth PL1 5PD	Mr Chris Cummings

Decision Date	Decision	Applicaition No:	Applicant	Proposal	Address	Case Officer
09/08/2019	Granted Conditionally	19/00944/FUL	Mr Paul Cocks	Two storey rear extension	39A The Knoll Plymouth PL7 4SH	Miss Josephine Maddick
09/08/2019	Granted Conditionally	19/01088/LBC	Mr James Yorke	Works to rear roof elevation, windows and repairs (variation to approval 17/02044/LBC)	Wyndham Hall, Wyndham Street East Plymouth PL1 5FA	Mr Chris Cummings
09/08/2019	Granted Conditionally	19/01099/S73	Jordan Cavey	Variation of Condition 1 of application 18/00500/FUL	Manadon Vale Primary School St Peters Road Plymouth PL5 3DL	Mr Chris King
12/08/2019	Granted Conditionally	19/00897/TPO	Mr Michael Trathen	Oak - remove/reduce lower branches over garages in Shackleton Court to give 2m clearance above roof (to include removal of large deadwood and broken branches - exempt work).Other trees over parking area - remove/reduce lower branches over the parking area to give 3m clearance above ground level.	Manadon House 31 Conqueror Drive Plymouth PL5 3UT	Mrs Jane Turner
12/08/2019	Granted Conditionally	19/00978/TPO	Mr Stephen Elder	Horse Chestnut: Crown raise to 3 metres above ground level around whole tree. No height reduction necessary (amendment agreed 8/8/19).	20 Kingfisher Way Plymouth PL9 7RU	Mrs Jane Turner 4
13/08/2019	Agreed	18/00971/CDM	Mr Kevin Cook	Condition Discharge: Conditions 3, 4 & 5 of application 17/00327/FUL	26 Powderham Road Plymouth PL3 5SG	Miss Amy Thompson
13/08/2019	Granted Conditionally	19/00650/FUL	Amsric Foods Ltd	Change of use from shop (Class A1) to restaurant (Class A3) with hot-food takeaway (Class A5), including replacement shop front	10 Old Town Street Plymouth PL1 1DE	Mr Chris Cummings
13/08/2019	Granted Conditionally	19/00716/FUL	Miss Fran Harborth	Decking	42 Dovedale Road Plymouth PL2 2RS	Mr Peter Lambert

Decision Date	Decision	Applicaition No:	Applicant	Proposal	Address	Case Officer
13/08/2019	Refused	19/00746/AMD	Devcor (Plymouth) Ltd	Non-material amendment for application 17/01826/S73: Elevational changes including cladding changes, increased entrance door size, and partial retention of existing retaining wall	Peirson House Mulgrave Street Plymouth	Mr Simon Osborne
13/08/2019	Granted Conditionally	19/00936/ADV	Mr R Sarvaiya	Replacement of shopfront and sign to existing terraced retail unit.	32 Morshead Road Plymouth PL6 5AH	Mr Macauley Potter
13/08/2019	Agreed	19/00938/CDM	Church Of Scientology Religious Education College Inc.	Condition Discharge: Conditions 3 & 4 of application 19/00271/FUL	Royal Fleet Club 12 Morice Square Plymouth PL1 4PQ	Mr Jon Fox
13/08/2019	Granted Conditionally	19/00997/FUL	Mr Davis	Marquee in rear garden	Rising Sun, 138 Eggbuckland Road Plymouth PL3 5JT	Mr Chris Cummings
13/08/2019	Granted Conditionally	19/01004/FUL	Sainsbury's Supermarkets Limited	Erection of a 'Click & Collect' canopy	Sainsburys Supermarket Plymouth Road Plymouth PL3 6RL	Mr Dan Thorning
13/08/2019	Granted Conditionally	19/01005/ADV	Sainsbury's Supermarkets Limited	4no. printed canopy adverts and 2no. perimeter panel sign	Sainsburys Supermarket Plymouth Road Plymouth PL3 6RL	Mr Dan Thorning
13/08/2019	Granted Conditionally	19/01039/FUL	Mr R Sarvaiya	Replacement of shopfront	Post Office, 32 Morshead Road Plymouth PL6 5AH	Mr Macauley Potter
13/08/2019	Agreed	19/01085/CDM	Mrs Kate Foot	Condition Discharge: Condition 24 of application 14/00223/FUL	Land Off Towerfield Drive Plymouth	Mr Chris King

Decision Date	Decision	Applicaition No:	Applicant	Proposal	Address	Case Officer
13/08/2019	Agreed	19/01091/CDM	Mrs Kate Foot	Condition Discharge: Conditions 24 & 26 of application 14/00135/FUL	Land Off Towerfield Drive Plymouth	Mr Chris King
13/08/2019	Agreed	19/01291/CDM	Mr Will Kennedy	Condition Discharge: Condition 15 of application 17/02471/FUL	Colebrook House 51 Newnham Road Plymouth PL7 4AW	Mr Chris King
14/08/2019	Refused	19/00970/FUL	Mr Tony Taylor	Various amendments to front garden including construction of boundary wall, construction of two patios, one with inbuilt storage and covered access, installation of	158 Keyham Road Plymouth PL2 1RA	Mr Macauley Potter
				low voltage lighting to access areas and new fencing on both sides.		
15/08/2019	Agreed	18/02127/CDM	Taylor Wimpey (Exeter)	Condition Discharge: Conditions 22 (Secured by Design) & 23 (Lockable Gates) of application 15/00517/REM	"Sherford New Community" Land South/Southwest Of A38 Deep Lane And East Of Haye Road Elburton Plymouth PL9 8DD	Mr Tom French
15/08/2019	Granted Conditionally	19/00823/FUL	Woodford Primary School	Erection of 2.4m high fencing to the perimeter of the school grounds	Woodford Primary School Litchaton Way Plymouth PL7 4RB	Mr Macauley Potterge
15/08/2019	Granted Conditionally	19/00971/TPO	Mr Chris Millar	Ash (T1) - reduce height by up to 4m and reduce sides by approx 2-3m to natural growth points.Sycamore (T2 & T3) - reduce height by up to 4m and reduce sides by 2-3m	45 Beaumaris Road Plymouth PL3 5SB	Mrs Jane Turner
				to natural growth points.NB: These trees effectively grow as one canopy so please reduce as a group.		
15/08/2019	Granted Conditionally	19/00996/TPO	Mr Allan Taylor	Ash (T1) - Crown raise to give 5.5m clearance above ground level on factory side over containers and reduce 2 over extended limbs by approximately 2m. Oak (T2) - Crown raise to give 2.5m clearance above ground level on factory side.	8 Darklake View Plymouth PL6 7TL	Mrs Jane Turner

Decision Date	Decision	Applicaition No:	Applicant	Proposal	Address	Case Officer
15/08/2019	Granted Conditionally	19/01015/TPO	Mr Geoffrey Watts	Sycamore - remove lower epicormic growth on trunk on side of house and remove lowest branches over roof to give 2m clearance above the roof (amendment agreed with	1 Crescent Avenue Mews Plymouth PL1 3AP	Mrs Jane Turner
				applicant Mr Watts on 12/08/19).		
15/08/2019	Granted Conditionally	19/01055/TPO	Mr Martin Carter	Ash: Crown reduction by a maximum of 3m to natural growth points.	Strathmore, 46 Rocky Park Road Plymouth PL9 7DQ	Mrs Jane Turner
16/08/2019	Granted Subject to S106	19/00661/S73	Mr R Pillar	Variation of Condition 1 (Approved Plans) for application 18/01332/S73, including amendments to Apartment Building (House Type G), steps between plots and hard landscaping	Mannamead Centre 15 Eggbuckland Road Plymouth PL3 5HF	Mr Thomas Westrope
16/08/2019	Granted Conditionally	19/00894/FUL	Mr & Mrs Jamie Roberts	Alterations to windows and re-roofing of rear tenement kitchen	1 Thorn Park Plymouth PL3 4TG	Mr Mike Stone
16/08/2019	Granted Conditionally	19/00923/FUL	Mr & Mrs Robbins	Change of use from dwellinghouse (Class C3) to 6-bed HMO (Class C4)	201 Citadel Road East Plymouth PL1 2JF	Mr Chris Cummings 🖧
16/08/2019	Granted Conditionally	19/00980/FUL	Miss Vikki West	Change of use to residential parenting assessment unit (Class C2)	10 North Road East Plymouth PL4 6AS	Mr Chris Cummings
16/08/2019	Granted Conditionally	19/01016/FUL	Mr & Mrs Riden	First floor extension to side and rear, with ground floor alterations to existing dwelling	38 Manor Road Plymouth PL9 7DP	Mr Mike Stone
16/08/2019	Granted Conditionally	19/01035/FUL	Ms M Ford	Proposed extension and alterations	15 Wycliffe Road Plymouth PL3 6BZ	Mr Dan Thorning

Decision Date	Decision	Applicaition No:	Applicant	Proposal	Address	Case Officer
16/08/2019	Granted Conditionally	19/01050/FUL	Ms Samantha Redmond	New boundary wall	2 Speedwell Walk Plymouth PL6 5SL	Mr Sam Lewis
16/08/2019	Granted Conditionally	19/01071/FUL	Mr Ben Dreher	Change of use from dwelling (Class C3) to office (Class A2)	2A Oxford Avenue Plymouth PL3 4SQ	Mr Mike Stone
16/08/2019	Refused	19/01249/AMD	Mr Jonathan Crowder	Non-material Amendment: Larger window on front elevation for application 18/01627/FUL	43 Frensham Avenue Plymouth PL6 7JN	Mr Mike Stone
19/08/2019	Agreed	19/00242/CDM	Gables Farm	Condition Discharge: Condition 3 of application 17/01732/FUL	Gables Farm Dogs & Cats Home 204 Merafield Road Plymouth PL7 1UQ	Mrs Karen Gallacher
19/08/2019	Granted Conditionally	19/00712/LBC	Mr Shuttleworth	Internal alterations	44 Chapel Street Devonport Plymouth PL1 4DU	Mr Peter Lambert
19/08/2019	Granted Conditionally	19/00714/LBC	Mr Martin Lowe	To undertake localised external and internal fabric repairs to address water ingress issues and to repair the historic fabric	Stonehouse Barracks Durnford Street Plymouth PL1 3QS	Mrs Karen Gallacher $\overset{4}{6}$
19/08/2019	Granted Conditionally	19/00866/FUL	Patricia Whitefoot	Placement of fibreglass Elmer Elephant statues on concrete plinths from 07.07.2019 to 16.09.2019	Various - Royal William Yard Area	Mr Jon Fox
19/08/2019	Granted Conditionally	19/00931/FUL	Miss Lisa Marsh	Single storey front extension	22 Manadon Drive Plymouth PL5 3DH	Miss Josephine Maddick
19/08/2019	Granted Conditionally	19/00999/FUL	Mr Andy Stephens	Replacement glazed screen to front elevation and weatherboard cladding at 1st floor render on all elevations.	14 Osprey Gardens Plymouth PL9 8PP	Mrs Alumeci Tuima

Decision Date	Decision	Applicaition No:	Applicant	Proposal	Address	Case Officer
20/08/2019	Granted Conditionally	19/00770/FUL	Mr Matthew Conyers	Formation of loft conversion to first floor flat	17 Abingdon Road Plymouth PL4 6HZ	Mrs Alumeci Tuima
20/08/2019	Granted Conditionally	19/00863/FUL	Patricia Whitefoot	Placement of fibreglass Elmer Elephant statues on concrete plinths from 07.07.2019 to 16.09.2019	Various - Barbican	Mr Jon Fox
20/08/2019	Granted Conditionally	19/00864/FUL	Patricia Whitefoot	Placement of fibreglass Elmer Elephant statues on concrete plinths from 07.07.2019 to 16.09.2019	Various - City Centre	Mr Jon Fox
20/08/2019	Granted Conditionally	19/00865/FUL	Patricia Whitefoot	Placement of fibreglass Elmer Elephant statues on concrete plinths from 07.07.2019 to 16.09.2019	Various - Hoe Area	Mr Jon Fox
20/08/2019	Granted Conditionally	19/00903/FUL	Mr Tom Reilly	Loft conversion with rear dormer, hipped-to- gable roof and single storey rear extension	20 Clevedon Park Avenue Plymouth PL2 3HB	age Mrs Alumeci Tuima 47
20/08/2019	Granted Conditionally	19/00952/FUL	Mr & Mrs Godber	Refurbishment of existing building, and demolition and construction of new tenement	160 Cremyll Street Plymouth PL1 3RB	Mr Mike Stone
20/08/2019	Granted Conditionally	19/01028/FUL	Mr Paul Jennings	Ground floor rear extension with ground floor side extension to accommodate incidental annexe	11 Hemerdon Heights Plymouth PL7 2EX	Miss Josephine Maddick
21/08/2019	Granted Conditionally	19/00761/FUL	Mr And Mrs Patrick	Relocation and rebuilding of the existing boundary wall to Yonder Street	Section Of Yonder Street Boundary Wall Yonder Street Plymstock Plymouth PL9 9RB	Mr Mike Stone
21/08/2019	Refused	19/01234/AMD	Ms Rosaline Wilcockson	Non-material Amendment: Replacement of 3no. rooflights with lantern rooflight for application 19/00360/FUL	121 Pemros Road Plymouth PL5 1LU	Mr Peter Lambert

Decision Date	Decision	Applicaition No:	Applicant	Proposal	Address	Case Officer
22/08/2019	Granted Conditionally	19/00932/FUL	Mr Steve Hosking	First floor extension over existing garage	17 Boulden Close Plymouth PL7 2GL	Mr Macauley Potter
22/08/2019	Agreed	19/01001/CDM	Mr Mike Leggett	Condition Discharge: Condition 3 of application 19/00247/FUL	837A Wolseley Road Plymouth PL5 1JP	Mr Peter Lambert
22/08/2019	Granted Conditionally	19/01025/FUL	Mr Lee Guilfoyle	Loft conversion with dormer window to rear elevation	24 Penlee Way Plymouth PL3 4AW	Mrs Alumeci Tuima
22/08/2019	Granted Conditionally	19/01031/FUL	Plymouth Community Homes	Demolition and re-building of fire damaged house	28 Dryburgh Crescent Plymouth PL2 2NU	Mr Dan Thorning
22/08/2019	Granted Conditionally	19/01049/FUL	Mrs Linda Williams	Alterations to main dwelling and proposed two-storey rear extension (Re-submission of 18/02046/FUL)	9 Ramage Close Plymouth PL6 8SQ	Mr Macauley Potter
22/08/2019	Granted Conditionally	19/01204/FUL	Mr & Mrs G Jackson	Demolition of existing garage and construction of rear and side single storey extension.	105 Larkham Lane Plymouth PL7 4PW	Mr Macauley Potter 🍄
23/08/2019	Refused	19/00699/FUL	Mr & Mrs E Nelson	Lower ground and ground floor rear extension, two storey side extension, front porch, loft conversion with rear dormer, double garage (single storey) and front and rear garden alterations.	55 Church Way Plymouth PL5 1AH	Mr Macauley Potter
23/08/2019	Granted Conditionally	19/00918/FUL	Mr Max Parfitt	Continued use of Table Top Mountain for vehicle parking (previously approved under application 18/00054/FUL)	Devonport Dockyard Saltash Road Keyham Plymouth PL1 4SG	Mr Alan Hartridge

Decision Date	Decision	Applicaition No:	Applicant	Proposal	Address	Case Officer
23/08/2019	Granted Conditionally	19/01009/FUL	Mr Nathan Bowles	Rear extension and demolish garage to form side porch.	31 Staddon Park Road Plymouth PL9 9HL	Mr Mike Stone
27/08/2019	Refused	19/00836/FUL	Mr S Lucas	Single dwelling (self-build)	1 Peacock Close Plymouth PL7 4QL	Mr Jon Fox
27/08/2019	Granted Conditionally	19/00979/FUL	Mr Dorber	Rear balcony with first floor access	90 Cardinal Avenue Plymouth PL5 1UT	Miss Josephine Maddick
27/08/2019	Granted Conditionally	19/01036/TPO	Mr Ian Warmington	Copper Beech - Cut back over-hanging branches (from neighbouring garden) to point agreed in photo in email dated 30/7/19	24 Jellicoe Road Plymouth PL5 3UU	
27/08/2019	Granted Conditionally	19/01065/FUL	Mr Tossell	1-bedroom annex	101 Underlane Plympton Plymouth PL7 1QY	Mr Simon Osborne <b>9</b> <b>49</b>
28/08/2019	Granted Conditionally	19/01038/FUL	Mr & Mrs Philip Northfield	Front porch	21 Lalebrick Road Plymouth PL9 9RU	Mrs Alumeci Tuima
29/08/2019	Granted Conditionally	19/00282/FUL	Mr Alex Passman	Ground floor rear extension, renovation of porch & chimney, 2x front roof lights and repair of sash windows	Homeleigh, 5 George Lane Plymouth PL7 1LJ	Mr Macauley Potter
29/08/2019	Granted Conditionally	19/00283/LBC	Mr Alex Passman	Ground floor rear extension, renovation of porch & chimney, 2x front roof lights and repair of sash windows	Homeleigh, 5 George Lane Plymouth PL7 1LJ	Mr Macauley Potter
29/08/2019	Refused	19/00553/FUL	Mr Ian Nicholls	Raised rear balcony on single steel support	Flat C, 5 Hartley Avenue Plymouth PL3 5HW	Mrs Alumeci Tuima

Decision Date	Decision	Applicaition No:	Applicant	Proposal	Address	Case Officer
29/08/2019	Granted Conditionally	19/00839/FUL	E Harvey	Detached annexe	11 Culme Road Plymouth PL3 5BJ	Mrs Alumeci Tuima
30/08/2019	Granted Conditionally	19/01077/TPO	Mrs Jacky Clarke	Small-leaved Lime: Crown raise to give a clearance of 3m above ground level (amendment agreed with owner 27/8/19).	House 15, 32 George Lane Plymouth PL7 2LL	Mrs Jane Turner
02/09/2019	Granted Conditionally	19/00463/LBC	Mr Christopher and Paul Friend	Retrospective application for conversion of lower ground, ground, first and second floors to four self-contained flats	39 Emma Place Plymouth PL1 3QT	Mrs Alumeci Tuima
02/09/2019	Granted Conditionally	19/00464/LBC	Mr Christopher and Paul Friend	Retrospective application for conversion to four self-contained flats	40 Emma Place Plymouth PL1 3QT	Mrs Alumeci Tuima
02/09/2019	Granted Conditionally	19/00857/FUL	Mr P Carmichael	Single and two-storey rear extension	45 Bonville Road Plymouth PL6 6TE	Mr Macauley Potter
02/09/2019	Granted Conditionally	19/01051/LBC	Plymouth City Council	Internal structural reinforcement works	City Museum & Art Gallery Drake Circus Plymouth PL4 8AJ	Miss Katherine S Graham
02/09/2019	Granted Conditionally	19/01083/FUL	Mr & Mrs Pinwell	Two-storey rear extension (re-submission of application 19/00466/FUL)	2 Barrie Gardens Plymouth PL5 3DW	Mr Macauley Potter
02/09/2019	Granted Conditionally	19/01096/FUL	Mr Paul Sheldon	First floor rear extension and existing loft extension	11 Third Avenue Stoke Plymouth PL1 5QB	Mr Dan Thorning
03/09/2019	Agreed	19/01275/CDC	Annabelle O'Higgins	Confirmations that all conditions relating to 09/01652/REM have been satisfied	42 Cobham Close Plymouth PL6 7FE	Miss Josephine Maddick

## Appeal Decisions between 06/08/2019 and 03/09/2019

Decision Date	Original Planning Appli	cation Appeal Reference	Inspectors Decision	Inspectors Reference Number
09/08/2019	18/02103/FUL	2019/0021	Appeal Dismissed	APP/N1160/D/19/3228872
Ward				
Moorview				
Address				
3 Penrith Close P	Plymouth PL6 8UY			
Application Des	cription			
Porch extension	I			
Appeal Process	C	Officers Name		
Householder Fas	t Track N	/Irs Alumeci Tuima		h Local Davalanment Framework (2006 - 2021) 2007 policies
Synopsis				
CS02.3 and CS34 NPPF.Having revi Although the Co	.4, emerging Plymouth an iewed the application and uncil, in refusing planning	nd South West Devon Joint Loca visited the site, the Inspector h permission, referred to PSWDJ	al Plan policies DEV1 and DEV10, DEV2 has dismissed the appeal given its sign	h Local Development Framework (2006 - 2021) 2007 policies 20, paragraphs. 2.2.11-12 of the SPD and paragraph 64 of the ificant harm to the character and appearnace of the area. icies are not relevant to the proposal asthey relate to health and oplicant or the Council.

Householder Fast Track Mrs Alumeci Tuima Synopsis Planning permission was refused for the front extension, as it was considered to be contrary to the Plymouth Local Development Framework (2006 - 2021) 2007	cision Date	<b>Original Planning Applica</b>	cation Appeal Reference	Inspectors Decision	Inspectors Reference Number
Plymstock Radford   Address   24 Rowland Close Plymouth PL9 9TH   Application Description   Part ground floor and part first floor front extensions   Appeal Process Appeal Process Officers Name Householder Fast Track Mrs Alumeci Tuima Synopsis Planning permission was refused for the front extension, as it was considered to be contrary to the Plymouth Local Development Framework (2006 - 2021) 2007	08/2019	18/02141/FUL	2019/0019	Appeal Dismissed	APP/N1160/D/19/3228370
Address 24 Rowland Close Plymouth PL9 9TH Application Description Part ground floor and part first floor front extensions Appeal Process Householder Fast Track Mrs Alumeci Tuima Synopsis Planning permission was refused for the front extension, as it was considered to be contrary to the Plymouth Local Development Framework (2006 - 2021) 2007	rd				
24 Rowland Close Plymouth PL9 9TH         Application Description         Part ground floor and part first floor front extensions         Appeal Process       Officers Name         Householder Fast Track       Mrs Alumeci Tuima         Synopsis       Planning permission was refused for the front extension, as it was considered to be contrary to the Plymouth Local Development Framework (2006 - 2021) 2007	nstock Radfor	rd			
Application Description         Part ground floor and part first floor front extensions         Appeal Process       Officers Name         Iouseholder Fast Track       Mrs Alumeci Tuima         Synopsis       Value of the stand of th	lress				
Part ground floor and part first floor front extensions          Appeal Process       Officers Name         Auseholder Fast Track       Mrs Alumeci Tuima         Synopsis       Planning permission was refused for the front extension, as it was considered to be contrary to the Plymouth Local Development Framework (2006 - 2021) 2007	Rowland Close	e Plymouth PL9 9TH			
Appeal Process       Officers Name         Householder Fast Track       Mrs Alumeci Tuima         Synopsis       Officers Name         Planning permission was refused for the front extension, as it was considered to be contrary to the Plymouth Local Development Framework (2006 - 2021) 2007	plication Desc	cription			
Householder Fast Track Mrs Alumeci Tuima Synopsis Planning permission was refused for the front extension, as it was considered to be contrary to the Plymouth Local Development Framework (2006 - 2021) 2007	rt ground floor	or and part first floor front e	extensions		
Householder Fast Track Mrs Alumeci Tuima Synopsis Planning permission was refused for the front extension, as it was considered to be contrary to the Plymouth Local Development Framework (2006 - 2021) 2007					
Synopsis Planning permission was refused for the front extension, as it was considered to be contrary to the Plymouth Local Development Framework (2006 - 2021) 2007	beal Process	Of	fficers Name		
Planning permission was refused for the front extension, as it was considered to be contrary to the Plymouth Local Development Framework (2006 - 2021) 2007	useholder Fast	t Track Mr	Irs Alumeci Tuima		
	opsis				
CSO2.3 and CS34.4, emerging Plymouth and South West Devon Joint Local Plan policies DEV1 and DEV20, paragraphs 2.2.41 of the SPD and paragraph 64 of the N reviewed the application and visited the site, the Inspector has dismissed the appeal given its harm to the character and appearance of the area. It noted that we no harm in respect of the living conditions of No 25, it did not outweigh the harm identified. No application for costs were submitted or awarded by either the ap Council.					
reviewed the application and visited the site, the Inspector has dismissed the appeal given its harm to the character and appearance of the area. It noted that will no harm in respect of the living conditions of No 25, it did not outweigh the harm identified. No application for costs were submitted or awarded by either the ap Council.	2.3 and CS34.	.4, emerging Plymouth and	d South West Devon Joint Local Pla	in policies DEV1 and DEV20, paragra	aphs 2.2.41 of the SPD and paragraph 64 of the NPPF. Having
no harm in respect of the living conditions of No 25, it did not outweign the harm identified. No application for costs were submitted or awarded by either the ap Council.	lewed the app	olication and visited the site	te, the Inspector has dismissed the	appeal given its harm to the charac	cter and appearance of the area. It noted that whilst there wa
	narm in respec	ct of the living conditions c	of No 25, it did not outweign the ha	arm identified. No application for co	osts were submitted or awarded by either the applicant or the
	incii.				

Decision Date	<b>Original Planning Application</b>	Appeal Reference	Inspectors Decision	Inspectors Reference Number
16/08/2019	18/01575/FUL	2019/0020	Appeal Dismissed	APP/N1160/W/19/3228760
Ward				
Plymstock Duns	one			
Address				
Site Adjoining 12	Gara Close Plymouth PL9 8UN			
Application Des	cription			
Construction of	a 3 storey (room in loft) detache	d house.		
Appeal Process	Officers	Name		
Written Represe	ntations Mr Jon F	ox		
Synopsis				
Inspector found	that the development would be l t the protected trees make to the ?.	harmful to trees and also tha e character and appearance	at there would be pressure to work of the area. The Inspector therefore	on trees in the future, all of which is harmful to the significant e agreed that the proposals are contrary to policies DEV20 and (
Inspector found	that the development would be l t the protected trees make to the ?.	harmful to trees and also tha e character and appearance	at there would be pressure to work of the area. The Inspector therefore	on trees in the future, all of which is harmful to the significant e agreed that the proposals are contrary to policies DEV20 and (
Synopsis Inspector found contribution tha DEV28 of the JLF	that the development would be l t the protected trees make to the 9.	harmful to trees and also tha e character and appearance	at there would be pressure to work of the area. The Inspector therefore	e agreed that the proposals are contrary to policies DEV20 and
Inspector found	that the development would be l t the protected trees make to the ?.	harmful to trees and also tha e character and appearance	at there would be pressure to work of the area. The Inspector therefore	e agreed that the proposals are contrary to policies DEV20 and
Inspector found	that the development would be l t the protected trees make to the ?.	harmful to trees and also tha	at there would be pressure to work of the area. The Inspector therefore	e agreed that the proposals are contrary to policies DEV20 and

This page is intentionally left blank